arXiv:1612.05929v1 [math.QA] 18 Dec 2016

Braided Yangians

Dimitri Gurevich*
LAMAV, Université de Valenciennes, 59313 Valenciennes, France

Pavel Saponov†
National Research University Higher School of Economics,
20 Myasnitskaya Ulitsa, Moscow 101000, Russian Federation
and
Institute for High Energy Physics, NRC "Kurchatov Institute"
Protvino 142281, Russian Federation

December 20, 2016

Abstract

Yangian-like algebras, associated with current R-matrices, different from the Yang ones, are introduced. These algebras are of two types. The so-called braided Yangians are close to the Reflection Equation algebras, arising from involutive or Hecke symmetries. The Yangians of RTT type (in particular, the so-called q-Yangian, usually defined as a "half" of a quantum group) are close to the corresponding RTT algebras. Some properties of these two classes of the Yangians are studied. Thus, evaluation morphisms for them are constructed, their bi-algebra structures are described, and analogs of certain symmetric polynomials, in particular, (quantum) determinants, are introduced. It is shown that in the braided Yangians the determinant is always central, whereas in the Yangians of RTT type it is not so in general. Analogs of the Newton relations and the Cayley-Hamilton-Newton identities are found. A bozonization of the braided Yangians is performed.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification, 2010: 81S99, 83C65
Keywords: current braiding, quantum matrix algebra, Yang-Baxterization, evaluation morphism, braided bi-algebra structure, quantum determinant, Newton-Cayley-Hamilton identities

1 Introduction

The notion of Yangian was introduced by V.Drinfeld in the framework of his Quantum Group (QG) theory [D]. The Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(gl(N))$ for the general linear Lie algebra $gl(N)$ is associated with the quantum R-matrix, due to Yang

$$R(u,v) = P - \frac{I}{u-v}. \tag{1.1}$$

Hereafter, I is the identity matrix and P stands for the usual flip or its matrix (all matrices are assumed to be of the $N \times N$ size). This R-matrix meets the quantum Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{12}(u,v)R_{23}(u,w)R_{12}(v,w) = R_{23}(v,w)R_{12}(u,w)R_{23}(u,v), \tag{1.2}$$

*gurevich@ihes.fr
†Pavel.Saponov@ihep.ru

where $R_{12} = R \otimes I$ and $R_{23} = I \otimes R$. In general, the lower indices indicate the spaces where a given operator or a matrix is located. Below, the R-matrices $R(u, v)$, which are subject to (1.2), will be also called *current braidings*.

Consider the following system

$$R(u,v)L_1(u)L_2(v) - L_1(v)L_2(u)R(u,v) = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

where $L(u) = \|l_i^j(u)\|$, $1 \le i, j \le N$, is a matrix-valued function depending on a complex parameter u, $L_1 = L \otimes I$, $L_2 = I \otimes L$. Hereafter, the lower indexes of entries enumerate the rows of a matrix, the upper ones — the columns.

We assume that *the generating matrix* $L(u)$ expands in a series

$$L(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} L[k]u^{-k}, \quad L[0] = I, \tag{1.4}$$

where the matrices $L[k] = \|l_i^j[k]\|$ are called the Laurent coefficients of the generating matrix $L(u)$.

By one of the equivalent definitions, the Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(gl(N))$ is the unital associative algebra, generated by the elements $l_i^j[k]$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ subject to the relations which arise from the system (1.3). Thus, the current entries $l_i^j(u)$ of the generating matrix $L(u)$ are treated to be elements of $\mathbf{Y}(gl(N))[[u^{-1}]]$. Being presented in this form, the Yangian is generated by infinitely many generators $l_i^j[k]$, subject to infinitely many quadratic relations. However, each of these relations contains only a finite number of generators. In the sequel we deal with other *quadratic algebras*, which have the same property.

Remark 1 Note that R-matrix $\mathcal{R}(u, v) = PR(u, v)$ is often used instead of R. Being rewritten in terms of $\mathcal{R}$, the relation (1.3) takes the form

$$\mathcal{R}(u,v)L_1(u)L_2(v) - L_2(v)L_1(u)\mathcal{R}(u,v) = 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Also, note that the defining system (1.3) makes sense in the limit $u \to v$. More precisely, on setting $\varepsilon = v - u$, we present the system (1.3) in the form

$$L_1(u)L_2(u+\varepsilon) - L_2(u+\varepsilon)L_1(u) = P\,\frac{(L_1(u+\varepsilon)L_2(u) - L_1(u)L_2(u+\varepsilon))}{\varepsilon}.$$

Then we expand the terms $L(u+\varepsilon)$ in a series in ε and express the commutators $[L_1(u), L_2^{(k)}(u)]$, where $L^{(k)}$ stands for the k-th order derivative in u, in terms of $L(u)$ and $L^{(k+1)}$. For instance, as the first nontrivial relation we have

$$[L_1(u), L_2(u)] = P\left(L_1'(u)L_2(u) - L_1(u)L_2'(u)\right). \tag{1.6}$$

This form of the Yangian will be called *local*. Thus, the Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(gl(N))$ can be defined by a countable set of local relations.

Besides, the Yangian has a bi-algebraic structure: the corresponding coproduct is defined on the generating matrix by the rule

$$\Delta(L(u)) = L(u) \,\dot{\otimes}\, L(u).$$

Hereafter the notation $A \,\dot{\otimes}\, B$ stands for the matrix with entries $(A \,\dot{\otimes}\, B)_i^j = \sum_k A_i^k \otimes B_k^j$, where A and B are square matrices of the same size. In terms of the Laurent coefficients the coproduct reads

$$\Delta(L[m]) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} L[k] \,\dot{\otimes}\, L[m-k].$$

The counit ε is as follows

$$\varepsilon(L(u)) = I \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \varepsilon(l_i^j[m]) = \delta_{m,0}\,\delta_i^j.$$

Another important property of the Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(gl(N))$ is that its center is generated by the Laurent coefficients of a unique current element $\mathrm{det}_{\mathbf{Y}}L(u)$, called the *determinant*. Besides, for each integer $k \geq 1$ analogs of the k-th order principle minors are well defined. Their sums constitute an analog of the k-th order elementary symmetric polynomial $e_k(L)$. A remarkable fact is that the elements $e_k(L)$ generate a commutative subalgebra in the Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(gl(N))$.

Concerning the representation theory, the so-called *evaluation* morphism, which sends the Yangian to the enveloping algebra $U(gl(N))$, is of great importance. Indeed, due to this morphism any $U(gl(N))$-module gives rise to a representation of the Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(gl(N))$. For these and other properties of the Yangians the reader is referred to [Mo].

A big interest in Yangians is motivated, among the other things, by their significance in the integrable system theory. In particular, the Yangians play the role of a symmetry group for the Nonlinear Schrödinger hierarchies [MRSZ]. Also, the Yangians are closely related to the so-called $\mathcal{W}$-algebras [BR].

In this connection the following question arises: how to define objects related to current braidings different from (1.1), in particular, to those coming from the affine QG $U_q(\widehat{sl(N)})$? Note that in [Mo] such a q-Yangian is treated as a "half" of the affine QG $U_q(\widehat{gl(N)})$. In particular, such a treatment has been used in [FJMR] in describing the center of the (completed) affine QG of type A.

Also, some other attempts are known to define Yangian-like algebras, for instance, the twisted Yangians, which are associated with simple Lie algebras of the series different from A_N (see [Mo] and the references therein) and the super-Yangians (see [N, Go]), associated with super-flips $P_{m|n}$.

Remark 2 The super-flip $P_{m|n}$ acts on the tensor square of the super-space $V^{\otimes 2}$ by the rule $P_{m|n}(x \otimes y) = (-1)^{\overline{x}\,\overline{y}} y \otimes x$. Here $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$, V_0 (resp., V_1) is even (resp., odd) subspace, $\dim V_0 = m$, $\dim V_1 = n$, elements x and y are assumed to be homogenous, and $\overline{x}$, $\overline{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ are their parities. We want to emphasize that super-Yangians from the cited papers are defined via the relation (1.5) with $\mathcal{R} = I + \frac{P_{m|n}}{u-v}$. Consequently, they differ from our super-Yangians of both types (see below), which are particular cases of our general definitions, provided $R = P_{m|n}$.

The main purpose of the current paper is to introduce some new Yangian-like algebras, associated with a large class of braidings and to study their properties. These algebras are of two types. Algebras of the first type are similar to the Reflection Equation (RE) algebras[1] and we call them *braided Yangians*. They are the main objects of the current paper. Algebras of the second type are similar to RTT algebras, we call them *Yangians of RTT-type*. These two types of Yangians have different properties. This difference results from a similar difference between the RE algebras and RTT ones.

Emphasize that the aforementioned q-Yangian [Mo] is a particular case of the RTT-type Yangians. We suggest another candidate to the role of the q-counterpart of the usual Yangian, namely the braided Yangian, related to the standard R-matrix. Hereafter, we use the term *standard* for all objects related to the QG $U_q(sl(N))$ or its affine counterpart $U_q(\widehat{sl(N)})$.

In order to describe all these objects, we first recall some basic definitions. Given a finite dimensional vector space V over the ground field $\mathbb{K}$ (we put $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$), $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} V = N$, we consider an invertible operator

$$R = R^V : V^{\otimes 2} \to V^{\otimes 2},$$

[1]There are known numerous forms of the RE algebras. In the present paper we use this term for the algebras associated with constant (i.e. independent of parameters) braidings.

which meets the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (without parameters)

$$R_{12}R_{23}R_{12} = R_{23}R_{12}R_{23}. \tag{1.7}$$

Such an operator will be called a *braiding*. A braiding is called a *Hecke symmetry* if it additionally meets the condition

$$(qI - R)(q^{-1}I + R) = 0, \quad q^2 \neq 1. \tag{1.8}$$

If $q^2 = 1$, the corresponding braiding is called an *involutive symmetry*. Below, if $q \neq 1$, q is assumed to be *generic*, that is $q^n \neq 1$ for any integer n.

The most known examples of Hecke symmetries are standard ones, i.e. those coming from the QG $U_q(sl(N))$. However, there exist a lot of other involutive and Hecke symmetries, constructed by one of the authors in the 80's (see [G2]). They are discussed in the next section.

Given a Hecke symmetry $R^V : V^{\otimes 2} \to V^{\otimes 2}$, we associate with it two quantum matrix algebras[2]. One of them, called the RTT algebra, is generated by the set of elements t_i^j, $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, subject to the system

$$R_{12}T_1T_2 - T_1T_2R_{12} = 0, \qquad T = \|t_i^j\|. \tag{1.9}$$

Hereafter, we assume a basis $\{x_i\} \in V$ in the space V to be fixed and R coming in the above relation to be the matrix of a Hecke symmetry in the corresponding basis $\{x_i \otimes x_j\} \in V^{\otimes 2}$:

$$R^V(x_i \otimes x_j) = R_{ij}^{ks}\, x_k \otimes x_s,$$

where the summation over repeated indices is always understood.

Another quantum matrix algebra is the so-called Reflection Equation (RE) algebra. It is generated by the set of elements l_i^j, $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, subject to the system of relations

$$R_{12}L_1R_{12}L_1 - L_1R_{12}L_1R_{12} = 0, \qquad L = \|l_i^j\|. \tag{1.10}$$

Though the both algebras — the RTT and the RE ones — are particular cases of the quantum matrix algebras, their properties are very different. In particular, the RTT algebra has a usual bi-algebra structure, whereas the bi-algebra structure of the RE algebra, discovered by Sh.Majid (see [Ma]), is *braided*. This means that the coproduct is extended on polynomials in generators of this algebra via a braiding (in analogy with super-algebras). Besides, the representation theories of these algebras are not similar. The representation theory of the RTT algebra related to a general Hecke symmetry is not known. In the standard case some representations of the RTT algebra were constructed in [SV, KS]. They differ drastically from the representations of the enveloping algebra $U(gl(N))$.

By contrast, for the RE algebra, associated with any skew-invertible (see below) Hecke symmetry, it is possible to construct a category of its finite dimensional modules, similar to that of the algebra $U(gl(N))$. Such a category, including basic covariant and contravariant modules, their tensor products, and some their subspaces, was constructed in [GPS]. Note that in the standard case there are known other modules over this algebra. The corresponding references can be found in [GS].

The Yangian-like algebras, introduced in the present paper, are current counterparts of the mentioned quantum matrix algebras. In order to define them we have first to construct current braidings $R(u, v)$ entering their definitions. By using the so-called the *Yang-Baxterization* procedure, we associate such a braiding

$$R(u, v) = R + g(u, v)\, I$$

with a given involutive or Hecke symmetry R. Emphasize that the concrete form of the rational function $g(u, v)$ depends on R (see Proposition 12). Now, we are able to give the following definition.

[2]In general, any quantum matrix algebra is defined by means of a pair of compatible braidings (see [IOP]).

Definition 3 Consider a current $N \times N$ matrix $L(u)$ and impose the following quadratic relations on its matrix elements

$$R(u,v)L_1(u)RL_1(v) - L_1(v)RL_1(u)R(u,v) = 0. \tag{1.11}$$

We suppose $L(u)$ to be expanded in a series analogous to (1.4):

$$L(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} L[k]u^{-k}, \;\; L[0] = I.$$

Then, the unital algebra generated by matrix elements $l_i^j[k]$ of the Laurent coefficients $L[k]$, $k \geq 1$, is called a braided Yangian and is denoted $\mathbf{Y}(R)$.

Besides, we introduce an RTT-type Yangian, defined by the relations

$$R(u,v)T_1(u)T_2(v) - T_1(v)T_2(u)R(u,v) = 0.$$

As usual, we assume that $T(u)$ expands in a similar Laurent series but we will not impose the condition $T[0] = I$. Such an RTT-type Yangian is denoted $\mathbf{Y}_{RRT}(R)$. In the standard case the algebra $\mathbf{Y}_{RRT}(R)$ is just the q-Yangian mentioned above.

In this paper we study some basic properties of the braided Yangians and compare them with those of the RTT-type Yangians. First, we define the evaluation morphisms for the Yangians of both types. It is interesting to note that the concrete form of these morphisms depends on the initial symmetry: it differs for the Yangians, arising from involutive symmetries and those arising from Hecke ones. Also, we define the bi-algebra structures in the Yangians of both types. Analogically to the quantum matrix algebras above, the bi-algebra structure of $\mathbf{Y}_{RRT}(R)$ is usual, while in the case of $\mathbf{Y}(R)$ it is braided in the sense mentioned above.

In our Yangians we introduce analogs of symmetric polynomials[3]. Mainly, we are interested in the elementary symmetric polynomials and, in particular, in the determinant. The (quantum) determinant, which is the "highest" elementary symmetric polynomial, can be defined for a subclass of the Yangians of both types. We show that it is always central in the braided Yangians, whereas in the Yangians of the RTT type it can be central or not depending on the centrality of the determinant in the corresponding RTT algebra. As the last question, we consider a bosonization of the braided Yangians. In this connection we discuss the analogs of Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebras, associated with our braiding.

Note that all properties (the evaluation morphism, the representation category, the bosonization) of the braided Yangians are more similar to these of the usual Yangians than the corresponding properties of the RTT-type Yangians.

The paper is organized as follows. After recalling in section 2 a general form of the involutive and Hecke symmetries, we reproduce the main properties of the quantum matrix algebras in question (section 3). In particular, we describe the characteristic subalgebras of the RE and RTT algebras and list their properties. In section 4 we give an explicit form of the Yang-Baxterization procedure, giving rise to a family of current R-matrices. The evaluation morphisms and bi-algebraic structures of all Yangians in question are presented in section 5.

In section 6 we define analogs of the symmetric polynomials and the (quantum) determinants for the generating matrices of the braided Yangians. Also, in this section we prove the Cayley-Hamilton-Newton identities (similar to those obtained in [IOP] for quantum matrix algebras), and obtain the analogs of the Newton relations for the elementary symmetric polynomials and power sums. These identities seem to be new even for the classical Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(gl(N))$. In section 7 we perform a bosonization of the braided Yangians and discuss the Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebras.

[3] A detailed study of these analogs, giving rise to the Bethe subalgebras, and their quasi-classical counterparts will be exhibited in our subsequent publications.

2 Braidings and symmetries

In this section we recall the main properties of braidings $R \in \mathrm{End}(V^{\otimes 2})$, which solve the Yang-Baxter equation (1.7), and Hecke symmetries, which are braidings satisfying the additional Hecke condition (1.8). Besides, we discuss some useful algebraic constructions, related to braidings.

First of all, let us concern the classification problem of the Hecke symmetries. Though an exhaustive classification of such symmetries does not exist (and is hardly possible), some information about their eventual form can be obtained via considering the corresponding *R-symmetric* and *R-skew-symmetric* algebras of the space V defined respectively by

$$\mathrm{Sym}_R(V) = T(V)/\langle \mathrm{Im}(qI - R)\rangle, \qquad \bigwedge\nolimits_R(V) = T(V)/\langle \mathrm{Im}(q^{-1}I + R)\rangle.$$

Here, $T(V)$ is the free tensor algebra and the notation $\langle J\rangle$ stands for the ideal, generated by a subset $J \subset T(V)$. As $q \to 1$, we get similar definitions for the algebras related to an involutive symmetry R.

Below, we consider a family of Hecke symmetries R_q analytically depending on q in a vicinity of 1, such that for $q = 1$ we get an involutive symmetry[4]. Define the corresponding Poincaré-Hilbert series by the following sums:

$$P_+(t) = \sum_{k\geq 0} t^k \dim \mathrm{Sym}_R^{(k)}(V), \qquad P_-(t) = \sum_{k\geq 0} t^k \dim \bigwedge\nolimits_R^{(k)}(V),$$

where the upper index (k) labels homogenous components of these quadratic algebras.

As was shown in [H], the Poincaré-Hilbert series $P_\pm(t)$ are rational functions. On presenting the rational function $P_-(t) = M(t)/N(t)$ as an irreducible fraction of two polynomials with degrees $m = \deg M(t)$ and $n = \deg N(t)$, we call the couple $(m|n)$ the *bi-rank* of the Hecke symmetry R. Note that for any symmetry R_q from the mentioned above family the Poincaré-Hilbert series $P_\pm(t)$ is equal to that of the involutive symmetry R_1 (for a generic q).

Moreover, one can naturally define the following projectors (the so-called *q-antisymmetrizers*)

$$\mathcal{P}^{(k)} : V^{\otimes k} \to \bigwedge\nolimits_R^{(k)}(V), \quad k \geq 1 \tag{2.1}$$

by the following recursive formulae [G2]:

$$\mathcal{P}^{(1)} = I, \qquad \mathcal{P}^{(k+1)}_{12\ldots k+1} = \frac{k_q}{(k+1)_q}\mathcal{P}^{(k)}_{12\ldots k}\left(\frac{q^k}{k_q}I - R_{k\,k+1}\right)\mathcal{P}^{(k)}_{12\ldots k}. \tag{2.2}$$

Here, we use the standard notation

$$R_{k\,k+1} := \mathrm{id}^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes R \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes(p-k-1)} \in \mathrm{End}(V^{\otimes p})$$

and

$$k_q = \frac{q^k - q^{-k}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

However, below, for the sake of simplicity we use a more concise notation $R_k := R_{k\,k+1}$.

The fact that the operators (2.2) are projectors indeed follows from the properties of the Hecke algebras $H_k(q)$. Recall that for a generic value of q this algebra is known to be isomorphic to the group algebra $\mathbb{K}[\mathfrak{S}_k]$ of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_k$. So, this algebra is semisimple and possesses the decomposition of the unit element into the sum of primitive idempotents e_{λ_a}. These idempotents are in one to one correspondence with the standard Young tableaux λ_a of all possible partitions $\lambda \vdash k$ (see [OP] for more detail).

[4] A method of constructing such families has been suggested in [G2].

Also note that for any given Hecke symmetry $R \in \mathrm{End}(V^{\otimes 2})$ the map ρ_R, sending the Artin generators $\sigma_i \in H_k(q)$ into R_i, defines a representation of the Hecke algebra $H_k(q)$. This representation

$$\rho_R : H_k(q) \to \mathrm{End}(V^{\otimes k}) : \quad \rho_R(\sigma_i) = R_i, \quad 1 \le i \le k-1$$

is called the R-matrix representation. Below we use this term for the whole family of these maps without specifying the value of k. Note, that the images of the operators $\rho_R(e_{\lambda_a})$ in the spaces $V^{\otimes k}$ and $(V^*)^{\otimes l}$ give rise to the subspaces coming in the representation theory of the RE algebra, mentioned in Introduction.

Emphasize that the projectors $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ arise from idempotents of the Hecke algebras corresponding to the partitions (1^k).

Now, let us give a well known example of the standard Hecke symmetry (the Drinfeld-Jimbo R-matrix):

$$R = \sum_{i,j}^{N} q^{\delta_i^j} E_i^j \otimes E_j^i + \sum_{i<j}^{N} (q - q^{-1}) E_i^i \otimes E_j^j, \tag{2.3}$$

where E_i^j is the matrix unit: it has the only nonzero matrix element equal to 1 at the position (i, j).

As $q \to 1$, the Hecke symmetry (2.3) turns into the usual flip. For this Hecke symmetry we have $P_-(t) = (1+t)^N$ and the Poincaré-Hilbert series $P_+(t)$ can be found from the relation $P_-(t)P_+(-t) = 1$, which is valid for any involutive or Hecke symmetry (for a generic q). If $R = P_{m|n}$ is a super-flip, then $P_-(t) = \frac{(1+t)^m}{(1-t)^n}$.

However, as was shown in [G2], for any integer $N \ge 2$ there exists an even Hecke symmetry $R : V^{\otimes 2} \to V^{\otimes 2}$, $\dim V = N$, such that $P_-(t) = 1 + Nt + t^2$. Such a symmetry cannot be a deformation of the flip P if $N = \dim V > 2$.

Hereafter we shall assume all symmetries to be skew-invertible. Recall that a braiding R is called *skew-invertible*, if there exists an operator $\Psi : V^{\otimes 2} \to V^{\otimes 2}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_2 R_{12} \Psi_{23} = P_{13} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad R_{ij}^{kl} \Psi_{lp}^{jq} = \delta_i^q \delta_p^k. \tag{2.4}$$

If the bi-rank of a skew-invertible symmetry R is $(m|0)$, then there exists the highest nonzero homogeneous component $\bigwedge_R^{(m)}(V)$ of the R-skew-symmetric algebra, while all the higher components $\bigwedge_R^{(k)}(V)$, $k \ge m+1$ vanish. The rank of the highest order projector $\mathcal{P}^{(m)} : V^{\otimes m} \to \bigwedge_R^{(m)}(V)$ equals to 1 and its action on the basis elements of the space $V^{\otimes m}$ can be presented as follows

$$\mathcal{P}^{(m)}(x_{i_1} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_m}) = \mathsf{u}_{i_1 \dots i_m} \mathsf{v}, \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\mathsf{v} = \mathsf{v}^{j_1 \dots j_m} x_{j_1} \otimes ... \otimes x_{j_m} \qquad \mathsf{u}_{i_1 \dots i_m} \mathsf{v}^{i_1 \dots i_m} = 1. \tag{2.6}$$

The tensors u and v are defined up to a non-trivial factor: $\mathsf{u} \to \mathsf{u}\lambda$, $\mathsf{v} \to \mathsf{v}/\lambda$, $\lambda \ne 0$. The element

$$\mathsf{v} = \mathsf{v}^{i_1 \dots i_m} x_{i_1} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_m} \in \bigwedge_R^{(m)}(V)$$

is a generator of the 1-dimensional component $\bigwedge_R^{(m)}(V)$.

It can be shown that for any $x, y \in V$ there exist $z, u \in V$ such that

$$R_m ... R_2 R_1 (x \otimes \mathsf{v}) = \mathsf{v} \otimes z, \qquad R_1 R_2 ... R_m (\mathsf{v} \otimes y) = u \otimes \mathsf{v}.$$

Therefore, we can define two linear maps $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} : V \to V$ by setting $\mathcal{M}(x) = z$, and $\mathcal{N}(y) = u$. As was shown in [G2] (in a slightly different normalization), the matrices $\|\mathcal{M}_i^j\|$ and $\|\mathcal{N}_i^j\|$ of these operators are respectively equal

$$\mathcal{M}_i^j = (-1)^{m-1} q^{\,m_q} \mathsf{u}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{m-1} i} \, \mathsf{v}^{j a_1 a_2 \dots a_{m-1}}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_i^j = (-1)^{m-1} q\, m_q\, \mathsf{u}_{ia_1a_2\ldots a_{m-1}}\, \mathsf{v}^{a_1a_2\ldots a_{m-1}j}. \tag{2.8}$$

In general the operators $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are not scalar (not proportional to the identity operator), but their product is always a scalar operator (see [G2] for detail). Therefore, the both operators $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are either scalar or not. Note that the property of these operators to be scalar plays an important role in computing the center of the corresponding RTT-type Yangian.

Here is an example of an involutive symmetry $R \in \mathrm{End}(V^{\otimes 2})$, $\dim V = 2$, for which the map $\mathcal{N}$ (and consequently $\mathcal{M}$) is not scalar:

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & -a & ab \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{K}. \tag{2.9}$$

Checking the fact that R is an involutive symmetry is straightforward. The bi-rank of this symmetry is $(2|0)$ and we have (also, see [G2]):

$$\mathsf{u}_{11} = a, \quad \mathsf{u}_{12} = -1, \quad \mathsf{u}_{21} = 1, \quad \mathsf{u}_{22} = 0, \qquad \mathsf{v}^{11} = 0, \quad \mathsf{v}^{12} = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \mathsf{v}^{21} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \mathsf{v}^{22} = -\frac{b}{2}.$$

The matrix of the operator $\mathcal{N}$ reads

$$\|\mathcal{N}_i^j\| = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a-b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the operator $\mathcal{N}$ is scalar iff $a = b$.

This example is very instructive, it shows that the tensor v does not determine the tensor u in a unique way (and vice versa). Indeed, if $b = 0$ the symmetric algebra $\mathrm{Sym}_R(V) = T(V)/\langle \mathsf{v} \rangle$ is classical (it is the polynomial algebra in two commutative generators). However, the tensor u depends on a and, consequently, we still have a family of the corresponding involutive symmetries, parameterised by a.

As the next example we consider the Hecke symmetry (2.3) at $N = 2$. The components of tensors u and v in this case are as follows:

$$\mathsf{u}_{11} = 0, \qquad \mathsf{u}_{12} = q^{-1}, \qquad \mathsf{u}_{21} = -1, \qquad \mathsf{u}_{22} = 0,$$

$$\mathsf{v}^{11} = 0, \qquad \mathsf{v}^{12} = \tfrac{1}{q+q^{-1}}, \qquad \mathsf{v}^{21} = \tfrac{-q}{q+q^{-1}}, \qquad \mathsf{v}^{22} = 0.$$

Consequently, we have $\mathcal{N} = q\, I$ and this operator is scalar. Note that for any $N > 2$ the standard Hecke symmetries (2.3) give rise to the scalar operators $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$.

Our next aim is to extend the initial symmetry $R = R^V$ (involutive or Hecke) up to a braiding

$$R = R^{V \oplus V^*} : (V \oplus V^*)^{\otimes 2} \to (V \oplus V^*)^{\otimes 2},$$

where V^* is the space dual to V. We recquire the braiding $R^{V \oplus V^*}$ to be coordinated with the paring

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle : V \otimes V^* \to \mathbb{K} \tag{2.10}$$

in the sense of the following relations (below $R = R^{V \oplus V^*}$)

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{23} = \langle\ ,\ \rangle_{12} R_2 R_1, \qquad \langle\ ,\ \rangle_{12} = \langle\ ,\ \rangle_2 R_1 R_{23},$$

where the terms of the first (second) relation are treated to be the operators

$$W \otimes V \otimes V^* \to W \quad \text{and} \quad V \otimes V^* \otimes W \to W$$

respectively. Here the symbol W stands for either V or V^*. The above property will be called the R-invariance of the pairing (2.10). Roughly speaking, it means that the pairing does not depend of the position of the product $V \otimes V^*$ in the tensor products of multiple vector spaces. A similar meaning can be given to the R-invariance of all other operators.

Such an extension is possible iff the braiding R is skew-invertible and in this case the extension is unique. In the explicit form the matrix of the extended braiding $R^{V\oplus V^*}$ can be constructed as follows.

Having fixed the basis $\{x_i\} \in V$ and the right dual basis $\{x^j\} \in V^*$

$$\langle x_i, x^j \rangle = \delta_i^j, \tag{2.11}$$

we can represent the matrix of the braiding $R^{V\oplus V^*}$ in the corresponding basis of the space $(V \oplus V^*)^{\otimes 2}$. The result reads:

$$\begin{aligned} &R^{V\oplus V^*}(x_i \otimes x_j) = R_{ij}^{kl}\, x_k \otimes x_l, \qquad && R^{V\oplus V^*}(x_i \otimes x^j) = (R^{-1})_{ki}^{lj}\, x^k \otimes x_l, \\ &R^{V\oplus V^*}(x^j \otimes x_i) = \Psi_{li}^{kj} x_k \otimes x^l, \qquad && R^{V\oplus V^*}(x^k \otimes x^l) = R_{ji}^{lk}\, x^i \otimes x^j. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Along with the right dual basis in V^* we can also define the left dual one $\{\tilde{x}^j\} \in V^*$. This means that the paring

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle : V^* \otimes V \to \mathbb{K} \qquad \langle \tilde{x}^j, x_i \rangle = \delta_i^j$$

is R-invariant. The matrix of the operator $R = R^{V\oplus V^*}$ in the basis $\{x_i, \tilde{x}^j\}$ read:

$$R^{V\oplus V^*}(x_i \otimes \tilde{x}^j) = \Psi_{il}^{j\,k}\, \tilde{x}^l \otimes x_k, \qquad R^{V\oplus V^*}(\tilde{x}^j \otimes x_i) = (R^{-1})_{il}^{jk} x_k \otimes \tilde{x}^l, \tag{2.13}$$

whereas the first and the last relations in (2.12) remain unchanged (we only have to replace x^j by $\tilde{x}^j$).

For a skew-invertible braiding R we can define two linear operators B and C from $\mathrm{End}(V)$:

$$B = \mathrm{Tr}_1\Psi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad B_i^j = \Psi_{ki}^{kj}, \qquad C = \mathrm{Tr}_2\Psi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad C_i^j = \Psi_{ik}^{jk}. \tag{2.14}$$

These operators play an important role for the structure of all algebras considered below. In particular, the operator C allows one to introduce the *braided* (or *R-trace*) of quantum matrices. Let $X = \|X_i^j\|$ be an $N \times N$ matrix with entries belonging to any algebra. We define its R-trace as follows

$$\mathrm{Tr}_R(X) := \mathrm{Tr}(X \cdot C) = C_i^j\, X_j^i. \tag{2.15}$$

Assuming R to be a skew-invertible Hecke symmetry of the bi-rank $(m|n)$, we list some properties of the R-trace (see [O, GPS]) and of the operators B and C. First,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{R(2)}R = I, \qquad \mathrm{Tr}_R I = q^{n-m}(m-n)_q. \tag{2.16}$$

Second, for any $N \times N$ matrix X the following holds

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{R(2)}(R_1^{-1}X_1R_1) = \mathrm{Tr}_{R(2)}(R_1X_1R_1^{-1}) = \mathrm{Tr}_R(X)\, I_1. \tag{2.17}$$

Third, the operators B and C are invertible and

$$B \cdot C = C \cdot B = q^{2(n-m)} I. \tag{2.18}$$

The R-trace possesses the cyclic property: for any matrix X of an appropriate size and any polynomial $p(R_1, \dots, R_{k-1})$ we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{R(1)} \dots \mathrm{Tr}_{R(k)}(Xp(R_1, \dots, R_{k-1})) = \mathrm{Tr}_{R(1)} \dots \mathrm{Tr}_{R(k)}(p(R_1, ..., R_{k-1})X).$$

Indeed, the operators B and C obey the relations

$$R_1B_1B_2 = B_1B_2R_1, \qquad R_1C_1C_2 = C_1C_2R_1,$$

which are the direct consequence of the Yang-Baxter equation rewritten in terms of R and its skew-inverse Ψ [O]. It is evident that for any positive integer k we have the same type relation

$$R_kC_kC_{k+1} = C_kC_{k+1}R_k,$$

and, therefore, the "string" $C_1 \dots C_m$ commutes with any polynomial in $R_1, \dots R_{m-1}$ and, in particular, with the projector $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$. We use this fact below in the proof of Proposition 4.

Below, for multiple traces we use a more concise notation

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{R(1\dots k)} := \mathrm{Tr}_{R(1)} \dots \mathrm{Tr}_{R(k)}.$$

It does not lead to a misunderstanding, since for any matrix X of an appropriate size the following holds

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{R(i)}\mathrm{Tr}_{R(j)}(X) = \mathrm{Tr}_{R(j)}\mathrm{Tr}_{R(i)}(X).$$

Proposition 4 [IP] *Let R be a skew-invertible Hecke symmetry of the bi-rank $(m|0)$. Then the tensors u and v defining the matrix structure of the highest q-antisymmetrizer $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ (see (2.5), (2.6)) satisfy the following relations:*

$$C_{i_1}^{j_1} \dots C_{i_m}^{j_m}\mathsf{u}_{j_1\dots j_m} = q^{-m^2}\mathsf{u}_{i_1\dots i_m}, \qquad C_{i_1}^{j_1} \dots C_{i_m}^{j_m}\mathsf{v}^{i_1\dots i_m} = q^{-m^2}\mathsf{v}^{j_1\dots j_m},$$

or in a slightly dfferent form:

$$C_1 \dots C_m\mathcal{P}^{(m)} = \mathcal{P}^{(m)}C_1 \dots C_m = q^{-m^2}\mathcal{P}^{(m)}.$$

Proof. As we said above, the string $C_1 \dots C_m$ commutes with with the projector $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$. Taking into account (2.5) and (2.6) we get the matrix equalities:

$$C_{i_1}^{a_1} \dots C_{i_m}^{a_m}\mathsf{u}_{a_1\dots a_m}\mathsf{v}^{j_1\dots j_m} = \mathsf{u}_{i_1\dots i_m}\mathsf{v}^{a_1\dots a_m}C_{a_1}^{j_1} \dots C_{a_m}^{j_m}.$$

Contracting the both sides with $\mathsf{u}_{j_1\dots j_m}$ and taking into account (2.6), we come to

$$C_{i_1}^{a_1} \dots C_{i_m}^{a_m}\mathsf{u}_{a_1\dots a_m} = \mathrm{Tr}_{R(1\dots m)}(\mathcal{P}^{(m)})\,\mathsf{u}_{i_1\dots i_m}.$$

The R-trace of $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ can be easily calculated with the use of (2.2):

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{R(1\dots m)}(\mathcal{P}^{(m)}) = \frac{(m-1)_q}{m_q}\mathrm{Tr}_{R(1\dots m-1)}\left(\mathcal{P}^{(m-1)}\mathrm{Tr}_{R(m)}\Big(\frac{q^{m-1}}{(m-1)_q}I - R_{m-1}\Big)\mathcal{P}^{(m-1)}\right).$$

In virtue of $\mathrm{Tr}_R I = q^{-m}m_q$ we arrive to the following formula

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{R(1\dots m)}(\mathcal{P}^{(m)}) = q^{-km}\,\frac{k_q!(m-k)_q!}{m_q!}\,\mathrm{Tr}_{R(1\dots m-k)}(\mathcal{P}^{(m-k)}), \quad 1 \le k \le m.$$

On setting $k = m$ we get the final result

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{R(1\dots m)}(\mathcal{P}^{(m)}) = q^{-m^2}.$$

This entails the first claim of the proposition. The second claim is proved in a similar way. ■

Corollary 5 *For any matrix X of an appropriate size we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{R(1\dots m)}(\mathcal{P}^{(m)}X) = q^{-m^2}\mathrm{Tr}_{(1\dots m)}(\mathcal{P}^{(m)}X). \tag{2.19}$$

The latter formula means that the usual trace and R-trace of the product $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}X$ differ by a non-trivial factor only.

Now, turn to the role of the operator B. Let us endow the elements of the space $V\otimes V^*$ with the meaning of endomorphisms of the space V. To this end we define a linear action of a basis element $l_i^j = x_i\otimes x^j$ onto a basis vector x_k by the following rule

$$l_i^j(x_k) := x_i\,\langle x^j, x_k\rangle = x_i\,B_k^j. \tag{2.20}$$

This way of proceeding is motivated by the fact that $\{x^j\}$ is the right dual basis. So, in order to compute the left pairing $\langle x^j, x_k\rangle$ we have to permute the factors x^j and x_k by means of (2.12) and then to apply the pairing (2.11). Thus, we have constructed an embedding $V\otimes V^*\to \mathrm{End}(V)$. The fact that this map is an isomorphism of vector spaces follows from the invertibility (2.18) of the operator B.

Now, we define the braiding

$$R^{\mathrm{End}(V)} : \mathrm{End}(V)^{\otimes 2}\to \mathrm{End}(V)^{\otimes 2}$$

by means of this isomorphism. Since $\mathrm{End}(V)^{\otimes 2}\cong V\otimes V^*\otimes V\otimes V^*$ we set

$$R^{\mathrm{End}(V)} := R_2^{V\oplus V^*}R_1^{V\oplus V^*}R_3^{V\oplus V^*}R_2^{V\oplus V^*}.$$

Note that if the braiding R is an involutive symmetry, the braiding $R^{\mathrm{End}(V)}$ is also involutive.

In the basis $\{l_i^j\} = x_i\otimes x^j$ we can write an associative product in $\mathrm{End}(V)$ (i.e. the composition of endomorphisms)

$$\circ : \mathrm{End}(V)^{\otimes 2}\to \mathrm{End}(V)$$

as follows

$$l_i^j\circ l_k^l = l_i^l\,B_k^j.$$

It is tempting to define an R-analog of the Lie algebra $gl(N)$ in the space $\mathrm{End}(V)$ by introducing the following bracket

$$[X,Y] = X\circ Y - \circ R^{\mathrm{End}(V)}(X\otimes Y), \qquad X,Y\in\mathrm{End}(V). \tag{2.21}$$

If the initial braiding R is an *involutive* symmetry, this bracket has the following properties.

1. The bracket is an R-skew-symmetric map $\mathrm{End}(V)^{\otimes 2}\to\mathrm{End}(V)$:

$$[\ ,\ ]R^{\mathrm{End}(V)} = -[\ ,\ ].$$

2. It obeys the R-Jacobi identity on $\mathrm{End}(V)^{\otimes 3}$,

$$[\ ,\ ][\ ,\ ]_{12}(I + R_1^{\mathrm{End}(V)}R_2^{\mathrm{End}(V)} + R_2^{\mathrm{End}(V)}R_1^{\mathrm{End}(V)}) = 0.$$

3. The bracket is R-invariant, that is the following relation is fulfilled on the space $\mathrm{End}(V)^{\otimes 3}$:

$$R^{\mathrm{End}(V)}[\ ,\ ]_{12} = [\ ,\ ]_{23}R_1^{\mathrm{End}(V)}R_2^{\mathrm{End}(V)}.$$

The space $\mathrm{End}(V)$, endowed with this bracket, is called the *braided Lie algebra* and is denoted as $gl(V_R)$.

The enveloping algebra $U(gl(V_R))$ can be also naturally defined as the following quotient

$$U(gl(V_R)) = T(gl(V_R))/\langle X \otimes Y - R^{\mathrm{End}(V)}(X \otimes Y) - [X, Y]\rangle, \quad X, Y \in \mathrm{End}(V). \tag{2.22}$$

Removing the term $[X, Y]$ in the defining relations of the ideal in the denominator of (2.22), we get an R-analog of the symmetric algebra $\mathrm{Sym}(gl(N))$.

Note that the algebra $U(gl(V_R))$ has a *braided bi-algebra* structure. Its main ingredient is the coproduct which on elements of $\mathrm{End}(V)$ coincides with the classical one:

$$\Delta(X) = X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X. \tag{2.23}$$

However, its extension on monomials in the generators is realized by means of the braiding $R^{\mathrm{End}(V)}$:

$$\Delta(X\,Y) = (X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X)(Y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Y) = XY \otimes 1 + X \otimes Y + R^{\mathrm{End}(V)}(X \otimes Y) + 1 \otimes XY$$

and so on. These analogs of the Lie algebra $gl(N)$ and its enveloping algebra were introduced in [G1, G2].

The action (2.20) defines a covariant representation of the algebra $U(gl(V_R))$ in the space V. Also, the action

$$l_i^j(x^l) = -R_{ki}^{lj}\, x^k \tag{2.24}$$

defines a contravariant $U(gl(V_R))$ representation in the space V^*.

The basic representations (2.20) and (2.24) together with the coproduct (2.23) give rise to a representation category of the algebra $U(gl(V_R))$. It is just the category, mentioned in Introduction.

To complete the section, we note that for a non-involutive braiding R the direct application of the formula (2.21) does not lead to an object with similar properties. In particular, a "natura" R-analog of the Jacobi identity fails. However, if R is a Hecke symmetry, it is possible to introduce an algebra analogous to $U(gl(V_R))$ (2.22) and to construct its representation category, similar to the representation category of $U(gl(V_R))$. These objects will be considered in the next section.

3 Quantum matrix algebras related to symmetries

Before studying the braided Yangians and their RTT counterparts we consider their finite-dimensional analogs, namely, the RE and RTT algebras. This section is devoted to describing some properties of these algebras. Their more detailed study is given in [GPS].

First of all, note that in the standard case the RE algebra can be equipped with the adjoint action of the QG $U_q(sl(N))$ whereas the RTT algebra can be equipped with the action of the product $U_q(sl(N)) \times U_q(sl(N))^{op}$, where the action of each factor is one-sided. Namely, the linear space spanned by the generators l_i^j of the RE algebra is an analog of the adjoint module of the algebra $gl(N)$.

This property can be expressed in the dual terms. Namely, define the *left coadjoint coaction* δ of the RTT algebra onto the RE one by putting

$$\delta(l_i^j) = t_i^k \gamma(t_p^j) \otimes l_k^p, \tag{3.1}$$

where γ is the antipode (which is defined provided the condition $\det_q T = 1$ is imposed).

The RE algebra admits a further deformation by the linear terms converting it into a quadratic-linear algebra, which in the standard case tends to the enveloping algebra $U(gl(N))$ as $q \to 1$. We describe this quadratic-linear algebra in a more detail.

Let R be a skew-invertible Hecke symmetry. The unital algebra, generated by elements l_i^j, which are subject to the system

$$RL_1RL_1 - L_1RL_1R = h(RL_1 - L_1R), \qquad L = \|l_i^j\|, \tag{3.2}$$

is called the *modified RE algebra* and is denoted $\mathcal{L}(R,h)$ provided $h \neq 0$. If $h = 0$, then (3.2) is just the algebra (1.10), denoted below $\mathcal{L}(R)$. In what follows, we mainly deal with the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$. The numeric factor h in the right hand side of (3.2) enables us to threat the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$ as a deformation of $\mathcal{L}(R)$.

Note that $\mathcal{L}(R,h) \cong \mathcal{L}(R)$ if $q \neq \pm 1$. This isomorphism is determined by the following map

$$\mathcal{L}(R) \to \mathcal{L}(R,h): \qquad L \mapsto L - \frac{h}{q-q^{-1}}I. \tag{3.3}$$

Emphasize that for the involutive symmetry R the modified RE algebra $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$ coincides with the algebra $U(gl(V_R))$ considered in the previous section. If R_q is a Hecke symmetry deforming a given involutive symmetry R, then the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R_q,1)$ is a deformation of $U(gl(V_R))$.

Let us describe some properties of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R_q,1)$ which are similar to those of the algebra $U(gl(V_R))$. Below we simplify the notation for the Hecke symmetry and will write R instead of R_q.

First, the following proposition holds true.

Proposition 6 [GPS] *The actions* (2.20) *and* (2.24) *define covariant and contravariant representations of the algebra* $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$ *respectively.*

Second, the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$ has a braided bi-algebra structure. It can be deduced from that of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R)$ by means of the map (3.3). On the generators l_i^j of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$ the coproduct Δ reads:

$$\Delta(l_i^j) = l_i^j \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes l_i^j - (q-q^{-1})l_i^k \otimes l_k^j.$$

To the whole algebra $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$ this coproduct can be extended by means of the braiding $R^{\mathrm{End}(V)}$, similarly to the coproduct in the algebra $U(gl(V_R))$. Consequently, the tensor products $V^{\otimes k} \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes l}$, $\forall\, k,l \in \mathbb{N}$, can be also endowed with a $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$-module structures.

Third, using the R-matrix representation and the idempotents λ_a of the Hecke algebra mentioned in section 2, it is possible to decompose the spaces $V^{\otimes k} \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes l}$ into direct sums of $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$-invariant subspaces (for a generic q). These subspaces form a quasi-tensor rigid Schur-Weyl category $SW(V)$ [GPS]. Note that in the standard case the same category $SW(V)$ can be constructed with the use of the QG $U_q(sl(N))$. In this case it suffices to deal with the spaces $V^{\otimes k}$ only, since V and V^* are isomorphic to each other as $U_q(sl(N))$-modules. Besides, using the map (3.3) we can convert the mentioned $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$-modules (i.e. objects of the category $SW(V)$) into $\mathcal{L}(R)$-modules. In the sequel the category $SW(V)$ will also give rise to the corresponding representation categories of the braided Yangians.

Now, we introduce a convenient notation, which allows one to develop a unified approach to the RE and RTT algebras. We put by definition

$$L_{\overline{1}} = L_1, \qquad L_{\overline{k+1}} = R_k L_{\overline{k}} R_k^{-1}, \quad k = 1,2,...,p-1. \tag{3.4}$$

Recall, that $R_k = \mathrm{id}^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes R \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes(p-k-1)}$, so the matrices $L_{\overline{k}}$ are treated to be elements of $\mathcal{L}(R) \otimes Mat(N^p \times N^p)$ or $\mathcal{L}(R,h) \otimes Mat(N^p \times N^p)$, provided the matrix L satisfies the relation (1.10) or (3.2) respectively.

Using this notation we can represent the defining relations of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R,h)$ as

$$RL_{\overline{1}}L_{\overline{2}} - L_{\overline{1}}L_{\overline{2}}R = h(L_{\overline{2}} - L_{\overline{1}}). \tag{3.5}$$

By setting $h = 0$ we retrieve the defining relations (1.10) of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R)$ in the new notation:

$$RL_{\overline{1}}L_{\overline{2}} - L_{\overline{1}}L_{\overline{2}}R = 0.$$

Remark 7 The RE and RTT algebras are two examples of the quantum matrix algebras as defined in [IOP]. The main ingredient of the definition of a quantum matrix algebra is a couple in a sense coordinated R-matrices. For the RE and RTT algebras the corresponding couples are $\{R,R\}$ and $\{R,P\}$ respectively.

The coproduct $\Delta : \mathcal{L}(R) \to \mathcal{L}(R) \otimes \mathcal{L}(R)$ on the generators l_i^j of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R)$ takes the following form (similar to that in the RTT algebras)

$$\Delta(L) = L \dot{\otimes} L \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Delta(l_i^j) = \sum_k l_i^k \otimes l_k^j. \tag{3.6}$$

As we said above, it is extended on the monomials in the generators by means of the braiding $R^{\mathrm{End}(V)}$, which in new notations can be represented as follow

$$R^{\mathrm{End}(V)}(L_{\overline{1}} \dot{\otimes} L_{\overline{2}}) = L_{\overline{2}} \dot{\otimes} L_{\overline{1}}. \tag{3.7}$$

Thus, for instance, we have

$$\Delta(L_{\overline{1}}L_{\overline{2}}) = (L_{\overline{1}} \dot{\otimes} L_{\overline{1}})(L_{\overline{2}} \dot{\otimes} L_{\overline{2}}) = (L_{\overline{1}}L_{\overline{2}}) \dot{\otimes} (L_{\overline{1}}L_{\overline{2}}). \tag{3.8}$$

Our next aim is to introduce some distinguished elements of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R)$, which are analogs of the symmetric polynomials of the classical matrix analysis.

Definition 8 The elements of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R)$ defined by

$$e_k(L) = \mathrm{Tr}_{R(1\dots k)}(\mathcal{P}^{(k)} L_{\overline{1}}L_{\overline{2}} \dots L_{\overline{k}}) \tag{3.9}$$

are called the *elementary symmetric polynomials*. If the bi-rank of R is $(m|0)$, the highest order polynomial $e_m(L)$ is called the *(quantum) determinant* of L:

$$e_m(L) = \det_{RE}(L) = \mathrm{Tr}_{R(1\dots m)}(\mathcal{P}^{(m)} L_{\overline{1}}L_{\overline{2}} \dots L_{\overline{m}}).$$

An important property of $e_k(L)$ is that they belong to the center of RE algebra $\mathcal{L}(R)$. The element $e_k(L)$ is the quantum analog of the sum of the principle minors of k-th order of the classical matrix with commutative entries.

Let us consider the quantum determinant in more details. In virtue of Corollary 5, with $X = L_{\overline{1}}L_{\overline{2}} \dots L_{\overline{m}}$ we can conclude that

$$\det_{RE}(L) = q^{m^2} \mathrm{Tr}_{1\dots m}(\mathcal{P}^{(m)} L_{\overline{1}}L_{\overline{2}} \dots L_{\overline{m}}).$$

Thus, the quantum determinant can be also defined via the usual trace. Moreover, it can be defined without applying any trace. Namely, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 9 *The following matrix identity holds true:*

$$\mathcal{P}^{(m)} L_{\overline{1}}L_{\overline{2}} \dots L_{\overline{m}} = L_{\overline{1}}L_{\overline{2}} \dots L_{\overline{m}} \mathcal{P}^{(m)} = q^{m^2} \det_{RE}(L)\, \mathcal{P}^{(m)}. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. First, we note that the defining relations of the Reflection Equation algebra can be "shifted to a higher position":

$$R_k L_{\overline{k}} L_{\overline{k+1}} = L_{\overline{k}} L_{\overline{k+1}} R_k, \quad \forall\, k \geq 1.$$

Besides, R_k and $L_{\overline{m}}$ commute with each other if $k \neq m$, $k \neq m+1$. This entails that $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ and $L_{\overline{1}} L_{\overline{2}} \dots L_{\overline{m}}$ commute with each other:

$$\mathcal{P}^{(m)} L_{\overline{1}} L_{\overline{2}} \dots L_{\overline{m}} = L_{\overline{1}} L_{\overline{2}} \dots L_{\overline{m}} \mathcal{P}^{(m)}.$$

Now, by using $\mathcal{P}^{(m)} = \mathcal{P}^{(m)} \mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ we get the following

$$\mathcal{P}^{(m)} L_{\overline{1}} \dots L_{\overline{m}} = \mathcal{P}^{(m)} L_{\overline{1}} \dots L_{\overline{m}} \mathcal{P}^{(m)} = \mathcal{P}^{(m)} \mathrm{Tr}_{(12\dots m)} (\mathcal{P}^{(m)} L_{\overline{1}} \dots L_{\overline{m}}).$$

It remains to employ the definition of the quantum determinant and formula (2.19). ■

In the spirit of (3.9) we define a map, sending the Hecke algebra into the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R)$. An important fact is that all elements belonging to the image of this map are central. To be more precise, the following proposition holds true.

Proposition 10 [IP] *Let R be a skew-invertible Hecke symmetry. For an element $x(k) \in H_k(q)$ denote*

$$ch(x(k)) := \mathrm{Tr}_{R(1\dots k)} (X(k) L_{\overline{1}} \dots L_{\overline{k}}), \qquad X(k) = \rho_R(x(k)).$$

Consider a linear subspace $Ch_R[L] \subset \mathcal{L}(R)$ spanned by the unit and by elements $ch(x(k))$ for all $x(k) \in H_k(q)$, $k \geq 1$. The space $Ch_R[L]$ is a subalgebra of the center of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R)$. Moreover, it is covariant with respect to the coaction (3.1).

The subalgebra $Ch_R[L]$ is called the characteristic subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(R)$.

Note that this claim is also valid for a skew-invertible involutive symmetry. In this case the group algebra $\mathbb{K}[\mathfrak{S}_k]$ plays the role of $H_k(q)$.

Thus, the elementary symmetric polynomials (3.9) belong to the characteristic subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(R)$. Other distinguished elements of the characteristic subalgebra are full symmetric polynomials, and more generally, Schur symmetric polynomials. The latter elements correspond to the idempotents λ_a of the Hecke algebra mentioned in section 2.

One more family of symmetric polynomials is the so-called *power sums*. They are defined as follows

$$p_k(L) = \mathrm{Tr}_{R(1\dots k)} (R_{k-1} \dots R_1 L_{\overline{1}} \dots L_{\overline{k}}). \tag{3.11}$$

Their name is motivated by the relation

$$p_k(L) = \mathrm{Tr}_R(L^k)$$

where

$$L^k = \mathrm{Tr}_{R(2\dots k)} (R_{k-1} \dots R_1 L_{\overline{1}} \dots L_{\overline{k}})$$

is the analog of the matrix power of the generating matrix L. For more detail the reader is referred to [IP].

Now, describe the characteristic subalgebra of the RTT algebra. We define it by the same formulae, where the terms $L_{\overline{k}}$ are replaced by T_k. However, this subalgebra is not central. It is only possible to claim that this subalgebra is commutative [IOP]. The above formulae for symmetric elements can be reproduced in the RTT algebra as well, provided the same change is done. The corresponding elementary symmetric polynomials are denoted $e_k(T)$ and so on. If a skew-invertible Hecke symmetry R is of the bi-rank $(m|0)$, the highest elementary polynomial $e_m(T)$ is also called the *(quantum) determinant* and is denoted $\det_{RTT}(T)$.

Since the characteristic subalgebra in the RTT algebra is not central, a natural problem arises to describe the center of this algebra. If R is of the bi-rank $(m|0)$, the only non-trivial candidate to the role of a central element is the determinant $\det_{RTT}(T)$. However, its centrality depends on the initial symmetry R.

As was shown in [G2], the determinant $\det_{RTT}(T)$ is central in the RTT algebra iff the operators $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$, introduced in the previous section by (2.7) and (2.8), are scalar. Thus, in the RTT algebra corresponding to the involutive symmetry (2.9) the quantum determinant is central iff $a = b$.

Remark 11 It is possible to define the RTT and RE algebras via the braidings R of the Birman-Wenzl-Murakami type. However, if R comes from a QG $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ of a series different from A_N, the RTT and RE algebras are not deformations of the algebra $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{g})$. This claim can be proved by checking that the corresponding semiclassical counterparts are not Poisson brackets.

4 Yang-Baxterization of symmetries

Now, let us describe the *Yang-Baxterization* procedure, which associates a current R-matrix with a given involutive or Hecke symmetry R. In this section we are working with the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 12 *Consider the sum*

$$R(u,v) = R + g(u,v)I, \tag{4.1}$$

where $g(u,v)$ is a function in two parameters. Assume that the function $g(u,v)$ depends only on the difference of the parameters: $g(u,v) = f(u-v)$ and that $f(z)$ is a non-constant meromorphic function. If R is involutive, then $R(u,v)$ is an R-matrix (a current braiding) iff

$$g(u,v) = \frac{a}{u-v}. \tag{4.2}$$

If R is a Hecke symmetry, then $R(u,v)$ is an R-matrix (a current braiding) iff

$$g(u,v) = \frac{q-q^{-1}}{b^{u-v}-1}. \tag{4.3}$$

Here a and $b \neq 1$ are arbitrary nonzero complex numbers.

Proof. Assume R to be a Hecke symmetry. Consider the sum (4.1) and set $g(u,v) = f(u-v)$. Then, demanding the combination $R(x) = R + f(x)I$ to satisfy the quantum Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{12}(x)R_{23}(x+y)R_{12}(x) = R_{23}(y)R_{12}(x+y)R_{23}(x),$$

we get the following functional equation on $f(x)$:

$$(q-q^{-1})f(x+y) + f(x+y)(f(x)+f(y)) = f(x)f(y).$$

Introducing a new function $h(x) = \frac{q-q^{-1}}{f(x)}$ we get the equation:

$$h(x)h(y) + h(x) + h(y) = h(x+y). \tag{4.4}$$

Consequently, the function $\varphi(x) = h(x) + 1$ meets the equation $\varphi(x+y) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$. This entails $\varphi(x) = b^x$, $b \neq 1$. The case of an involutive symmetry R is left to the reader. ■

The current braiding corresponding to (4.2) is called *rational*, whereas that corresponding to (4.3) is called *trigonometrical*. Note that the Yang R-matrices and their super-analogs are particular cases of rational braidings. Also, note that the first exmples of the Yang-Baxterization procedure for general involutive and Hecke symmetries were presented in [G2].

In general, the parameter b in (4.3) is independent of q, but if we want a trigonometrical R-matrix to turn into the corresponding rational R-matrix as $q \to 1$ (provided a Hecke symmetry R_q tends to an involutive symmetry when $q \to 1$) we put $b = q^{-2/a}$. Then we have

$$R(x) = R_q - \frac{q^{\frac{x}{a}}}{(\frac{x}{a})_q} I, \tag{4.5}$$

where we denote $x = u - v$. As $q \to 1$ this R-matrix tends to

$$R_1 - \frac{a}{x} I. \tag{4.6}$$

Below, we deal with the current braidings (4.5) and (4.6), where for the sake of simplicity we put $a = 1$ (in section 6 we put $a = -1$).

Changing the variables $b^{-u} \to u$, $b^{-v} \to v$ in (4.3), we get the following form of the trigonometric current R-matrix

$$R(u, v) = R - \frac{u(q - q^{-1})}{u - v} I. \tag{4.7}$$

Evidently, it depends only on the quotient $x = v/u$.

Consider a simple example when R is the Hecke symmetry (2.3) with $N = 2$. The corresponding current R-matrix is

$$R(x) = R - \frac{q - q^{-1}}{1 - x} I = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{qx - q^{-1}}{x-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(q-q^{-1})x}{x-1} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{q-q^{-1}}{x-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{qx-q^{-1}}{x-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.8}$$

Here, $x = v/u$.

Upon multiplying the R-matrix $PR(x)$ by an appropriate factor $h(x)$, we can get an R-matrix, which unessentially differs from that presented in [FR]. The latter current R-matrix is the image of the universal quantum R-matrix of the affine QG $U_q(\widehat{sl(2)})$ in the evaluation $U_q(\widehat{sl(2)})$-module $V(x)$.

It is easy to see that the trigonometrical current R-matrix (4.7) obeys the following relation

$$R(u, v)R(v, u) = \Big(1 - \frac{uv(q - q^{-1})^2}{(u - v)^2}\Big) I, \tag{4.9}$$

whereas the rational current braiding (4.6) with $x = u - v$ is subject to

$$R(u, v)R(v, u) = \Big(1 - \frac{1}{(u - v)^2}\Big) I. \tag{4.10}$$

Therefore, the following current braidings

$$\mathbf{R}(u, v) = \frac{R(u, v)}{q - \frac{u(q-q^{-1})}{(u-v)}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbf{R}(u, v) = \frac{R(u, v)}{1 - \frac{1}{(u-v)}}, \tag{4.11}$$

corresponding to Hecke symmetries and involutive ones respectively turn out to be involutive: $\mathbf{R}(u, v)\mathbf{R}(v, u) = 1$.

We use the braidings $\mathbf{R}(u, v)$ in the last section in order to define a version of bosonic algebras.

5 Evaluation morphisms, bi-algebra structures

Let $R : V^{\otimes 2} \to V^{\otimes 2}$, $\dim V = N$, be a skew-invertible involutive or Hecke symmetry. Introduce the following notation

$$[A, B]_R = RA_{\overline{1}}B_{\overline{2}} - B_{\overline{1}}A_{\overline{2}}R = RA_1RB_1R^{-1} - B_1RA_1,$$

where A and B are $N \times N$ matrices. Thus, the expression $[A, B]_R$ is an $N^2 \times N^2$ matrix.

For further considerations it is useful to keep in mind the following simple properties:

$$[I, I]_R = [A, I]_R = [I, A]_R = 0$$

for an *involutive* R, where I is the unit matrix and A is an arbitrary $N \times N$ matrix. But for a *Hecke symmetry* the last identity is changed:

$$[I, I]_R = [A, I]_R = 0, \quad [I, A]_R = (q - q^{-1})(A_{\overline{2}} - A_{\overline{1}}).$$

First, consider the braided Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(R)$, corresponding to an *involutive* symmetry R. Taking into account the explicit form of the rational current R-matrix $R(u, v)$, one can write the defining relations of the braided Yangian (1.11) as follows:

$$[L(u), L(v)]_R = \frac{1}{u - v}\left(L_{\overline{1}}(u)L_{\overline{2}}(v) - L_{\overline{1}}(v)L_{\overline{2}}(u)\right). \tag{5.1}$$

Setting $v = u + \varepsilon$ and expanding $L(u + \varepsilon)$ in a series in ε, we get a family of local relations

$$[L(u), L^{(k)}(u)]_R = \frac{1}{k+1}\left(L_{\overline{1}}^{(k+1)}(u)L_{\overline{2}}(u) - L_{\overline{1}}(u)L_{\overline{2}}^{(k+1)}(u)\right), \qquad k \geq 0,$$

where $L^{(k)}(u) = d^kL(u)/du^k$. Thus, the braided Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(R)$ can be also written in a local form, similar to (1.6) discussed in Introduction, we have only to replace the usual Lie bracket in the left hand side by $[\,,\,]_R$.

Let us expand the matrix $L(u)$ in a series:

$$L(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} L[k]u^{-k}, \quad \text{where} \quad L[0] = I.$$

Substituting this expansion in (5.1) and equating the coefficients of monomials $u^{-r}v^{-s}$ in the both sides, we get

$$\Big[L[r+1], L[s]\Big]_R - \Big[L[r], L[s+1]\Big]_R = L_{\overline{1}}[r]L_{\overline{2}}[s] - L_{\overline{1}}[s]L_{\overline{2}}[r], \quad \forall\, r, s \geq 0.$$

These relations are similar to those from [Mo]. Also, in the same way as in [Mo] we can prove the following claim.

Proposition 13 *Let R be a skew-invertible involutive symmetry. Then the defining relations of the braided Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(R)$ are equivalent to the system*

$$\Big[L[r], L[s]\Big]_R = \sum_{a=1}^{\min(r,\,s)} \left(L_{\overline{1}}[a-1]L_{\overline{2}}[r+s-a] - L_{\overline{1}}[r+s-a]L_{\overline{2}}[a-1]\right), \quad r, s \geq 1. \tag{5.2}$$

The next proposition is important for the representation theory of the braided Yangian.

Proposition 14 *Let M be the generating matrix of the modified RE algebra $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$ (see (3.2)) corresponding to a given skew-invertible involutive symmetry R. Then the map*

$$L(u) \mapsto I + \frac{M}{u} \tag{5.3}$$

defines a surjective morphism $\mathbf{Y}(R) \to \mathcal{L}(R,1)$. Similarly to the classical case, the map (5.3) is called the evaluation morphism.

Besides, the map $M \mapsto L[1]$ defines an injective morphism $\mathcal{L}(R,1) \to \mathbf{Y}(R)$.

Proof. The defining relations of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$ are given by (3.5) at $h=1$:

$$[M, M]_R = M_{\overline{2}} - M_{\overline{1}}. \tag{5.4}$$

We have to check that the matrix (5.3) does satisfy the relation (5.1), provided that (5.4) is fulfilled. This, in turn, is equivalent to checking the relation

$$(u-v)\left[\frac{M}{u}, \frac{M}{v}\right]_R = \left(I + \frac{M_{\overline{1}}}{u}\right)\left(I + \frac{M_{\overline{2}}}{v}\right) - \left(I + \frac{M_{\overline{1}}}{v}\right)\left(I + \frac{M_{\overline{2}}}{u}\right),$$

which is straightforward.

The second claim of the Proposition is a direct consequence of (5.2) written for $r=s=1$:

$$\Big[L[1], L[1]\Big]_R = L_{\overline{2}}[1] - L_{\overline{1}}[1],$$

which coincides with the defining relations of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$. ■

Now, let us assume R to be a skew-invertible Hecke symmetry. Taking into account the explicit form (4.7) of the current braiding $R(u,v)$, we have the following defining relations for the braided Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(R)$:

$$(u-v)[L(u), L(v)]_R = u(q-q^{-1})\left(L_{\overline{1}}(u)\, L_{\overline{2}}(v) - L_{\overline{1}}(v)\, L_{\overline{2}}(u)\right). \tag{5.5}$$

As usual, we expand the generating matrix $L(u)$ in a series $L(u) = \sum_{k\geq 0} L[k]u^{-k}$ with $L[0] = I$. Then, we arrive to the following system

$$\Big[L[r+1], L[s]\Big]_R - \Big[L[r], L[s+1]\Big]_R = (q-q^{-1})\left(L_{\overline{1}}[r+1]L_{\overline{2}}[s] - L_{\overline{1}}[s]L_{\overline{2}}[r+1]\right), \quad r,s \geq 0.$$

Upon dividing the relation (5.5) by $u-v$ and using the expansion $\frac{u}{u-v} = \sum_{p=0} \frac{v^p}{u^p}$ we get the following proposition.

Proposition 15 *Let R be a skew-invertible Hecke symmetry. Then the defining relations of the braided Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(R)$ are equivalent to the system*

$$\Big[L[r], L[s]\Big]_R = (q-q^{-1}) \sum_{a=0}^{\min(r,\, s-1)} \left(L_{\overline{1}}[a]L_{\overline{2}}[r+s-a] - L_{\overline{1}}[r+s-a]L_{\overline{2}}[a]\right), \quad r, s-1 \geq 0.$$

In this case there also exists an evaluation morphism but its surjectivity is not clear, since now the map $M \mapsto L[1]$ does not define a morphism $\mathcal{L}(R) \to \mathbf{Y}(R)$.

Proposition 16 *Let M be the generating matrix of the RE algebra $\mathcal{L}(R)$ corresponding to a given skew-invertible Hecke symmetry R. Then the map*

$$L(u) \mapsto I + \frac{M}{u} \tag{5.6}$$

defines a morphism $\mathbf{Y}(R) \to \mathcal{L}(R)$.

Proof. We have to check that if the matrix M is subject to the relation $[M_1, M_2]_R = 0$, then

$$(u-v)\Big(R\Big(I+\frac{M_1}{u}\Big)R\Big(I+\frac{M_1}{v}\Big)-\Big(I+\frac{M_1}{v}\Big)R\Big(I+\frac{M_1}{u}\Big)R\Big)=$$

$$(q-q^{-1})u\,\Big(\Big(I+\frac{M_1}{u}\Big)R\Big(I+\frac{M_1}{v}\Big)-\Big(I+\frac{M_1}{v}\Big)R\Big(I+\frac{M_1}{u}\Big)\Big).$$

This equality can be easily verified by a straightforward calculation with the use of the relation $R^2 = (q-q^{-1})R + I$. ■

Let us emphasize the difference between the braided Yangians related to involutive and Hecke symmetries. In the former case we have the evaluation morphism, which maps the braided Yangian into the modified RE algebra $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$, whereas in the latter case it maps the braided Yangian into the RE algebra $\mathcal{L}(R)$.

By using these evaluation morphisms and Schur-Weyl categories of finite dimensional $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$- (or $\mathcal{L}(R)$-)modules discussed in sections 3 and 4, we can construct some representations of the braided Yangians. Given an object U of this category, we can covert it into a module over the braided Yangian by putting

$$l_i^j(u) \triangleright x = x\delta_i^j + \frac{\rho_U(l_i^j) \triangleright x}{w}. \tag{5.7}$$

where by $\triangleright$ we denote an action of a linear operator and $w \neq 0$ is a fixed complex number. The symbol ρ_U stands for the corresponding representation of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$ if R is involutive, and for that of $\mathcal{L}(R)$ if R is a Hecke symmetry. The representation (5.7) of the braided Yangian is called the *evaluation* representation.

However, it is possible to proceed in a similar way without fixing w. Then we have to deal with the affinization $U \otimes \mathbb{K}[w, w^{-1}]$ of the space U. This space consists of all finite linear combinations of the generators $x[m] := x\,w^m$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ (or of these with non-positive tags $m \leq 0$).

We define an action of an element $l_i^j(u) \in \mathbf{Y}(R)$ onto an element $x[m]$ by the rule

$$l_i^j(u) \triangleright x[m] := x[m]\delta_i^j + (\rho_U(l_i^j) \triangleright x)[m-1]. \tag{5.8}$$

Let us stress that for constructing these representations the existence of the local form of the braided Yangian is very important since the variables u and v take the same value w.

Remark 17 Note that if R is involutive, the map $L(u) \to L(u-a),\ a \in \mathbb{K}$ is an automorphism of the braided Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(R)$. Consequently, the map (5.7) remains a representation of the braided Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(R)$, if we replace the denominator w in (5.7) by $w-a$ with any number $a \in \mathbb{K}$.

If R is a Hecke symmetry and the corresponding braided Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(R)$ is presented in the form (4.7), then the map $L(u) \to L(au)$, $a \in \mathbb{K}$, $a \neq 0$ is an automorphism of the braided Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(R)$. Consequently, a similar claim is valid for the braided Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(R)$, if we replace the denominator w in (5.7) by aw.

The representations of the braided Yangians described in this remark can be multiplied with the use of the following braided bi-algebra structure of $\mathbf{Y}(R)$. On the generators of $\mathbf{Y}(R)$ the coproduct is defined by:

$$\Delta(L(u)) = L(u) \dot{\otimes} L(u).$$

To extend the above definition on monomials in generators, we should use the operator $R^{\mathrm{End}(V)}$. Thus, similarly to (3.8) we have

$$\Delta(L_{\overline{1}}(u)L_{\overline{2}}(v)) = (L_{\overline{1}}(u) \dot{\otimes} L_{\overline{1}}(u))(L_{\overline{2}}(v) \dot{\otimes} L_{\overline{2}}(v)) = (L_{\overline{1}}(u)L_{\overline{2}}(v)) \dot{\otimes} (L_{\overline{1}}(u)L_{\overline{2}}(v))$$

and so on. Therefore, the coproduct is similar to that in the RE algebra $\mathcal{L}(R)$.

As for the RTT-type Yangians, the corresponding coproduct is similar to that in the RTT algebras and the bi-algebra structure is usual.

However, in order to define evaluation morphisms for these Yangians the definition of the Yangian should be modified. Namely, similarly to [Mo], in expanding the function $T(u)$ in a series in u the condition $T[0] = I$ must not be imposed (see Introduction). Then we define the evaluation map by

$$T(u) \to T + \frac{\overline{T}}{u}.$$

It is a morphism of algebras if the target algebra is defined by the relations

$$R\,T_1\,T_2 = T_1\,T_2\,R, \quad R\,\overline{T}_1\,\overline{T}_2 = \overline{T}_1\,\overline{T}_2\,R, \quad R\,\overline{T}_1\,T_2 = T_1\,\overline{T}_2\,R,$$

provided R is a Hecke symmetry, and

$$R\,T_1\,T_2 = T_1\,T_2\,R, \quad R\,\overline{T}_1\,\overline{T}_2 - \overline{T}_1\,\overline{T}_2\,R = T_1\,\overline{T}_2 - \overline{T}_1\,T_2, \quad R\,\overline{T}_1\,T_2 = T_1\,\overline{T}_2\,R,$$

provided R is involutive. In the standard case the former algebra is treated to be the QG $U_q(gl(m))$. We do not know any treatment of the latter algebra.

6 Determinant, symmetric polynomials, CHN identities

In this section we define analogs of the elementary symmetric polynomials in the braided Yangians $\mathbf{Y}(R)$ and show that the quantum determinant of the generating matrix $L(u)$ is always central in $\mathbf{Y}(R)$. Also, we write down the Cayley-Hamilton identity for the matrix $L(u)$ and establish the analogs of the Newton identities for the elementary symmetric polynomials and power sums.

In this section we are dealing with the current R-matrix (4.5) where we put $a = -1$. Thus, we have the following R-matrix

$$R(x-y) = R + \frac{q^{-(x-y)}}{(x-y)_q} I$$

and the defining relations for the braided Yangian

$$R(x-y)L_{\overline{1}}(x)L_{\overline{2}}(y) = L_{\overline{1}}(y)L_{\overline{2}}(x)R(x-y). \tag{6.1}$$

Note that all constructions below admit a passage to the limit $q = 1$, and consequently, all results are valid mutatis mutandis for the Yangians, related to involutive symmetries R. Also, note, that in this section we never expand the generating matrix into a series. However, by passing to the form (4.7) of the R-matrix (4.5), we can perform such an expanding.

For the further considerations we need some technical formulae. First of all, the relation (2.2) for the q-antisymmetrizers allows one to get the following useful presentation (it can be easily proved by induction)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}^{(k+1)}_{12\dots k+1} &= \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)_q}\, R_1(-1)R_2(-2)\dots R_k(-k)\,\mathcal{P}^{(k)}_{12\dots k} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)_q}\, R_k(-1)R_{k-1}(-2)\dots R_1(-k)\,\mathcal{P}^{(k)}_{2\dots k+1} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)_q}\, \mathcal{P}^{(k)}_{12\dots k}\, R_k(-k)R_{k-1}(-k+1)\dots R_1(-1) \\
&= \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)_q}\, \mathcal{P}^{(k)}_{2\dots k+1}\, R_1(-k)R_2(-k+1)\dots R_k(-1),
\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

where R is a Hecke symmetry of the bi-rank $(m|n)$.

To simplify the writing we introduce the following compact notation

$$R^{(\pm)}_{i\to j}(x) = \begin{cases} R_i(x)R_{i+1}(x\pm 1)\dots R_j(x\pm(j-i)), & \text{if} \quad j\geq i \\ R_i(x)R_{i-1}(x\pm 1)\dots R_j(x\pm(i-j)), & \text{if} \quad i>j. \end{cases} \tag{6.3}$$

For example, in this notation the first line in (6.2) takes the form:

$$\mathcal{P}^{(k+1)}_{12\dots k+1} = \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)_q}\, R^{(-)}_{1\to k}(-1)\, \mathcal{P}^{(k)}_{12\dots k}.$$

With the use of (6.2) we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 18 *For any value of the parameter x and for any integer $k\geq 1$ the following identities hold true*

$$R^{(-)}_{1\to k}(x+k-1)\, \mathcal{P}^{(k)}_{1\dots k} = \mathcal{P}^{(k)}_{2\dots k+1}\, R^{(+)}_{1\to k}(x).$$

If the rank of a Hecke symmetry is $(m|0)$, then for the highest order antisymmetrizer $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ the following identities hold true:

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(-)}_{1\to m}(x+m-1)\, \mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{1\dots m} &= (-1)^{m-1} m_q\, \frac{(x+m)_q}{(x+m-1)_q}\, \mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1}\mathcal{P}^{m}_{1\dots m}, \\ \mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1} R^{(+)}_{1\to m}(x) &= (-1)^{m-1} m_q\, \frac{(x+m)_q}{(x+m-1)_q}\, \mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1}\mathcal{P}^{m}_{1\dots m}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Now we define analogs of the elementary symmetric polynomials (see definition 8) for the braided Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(R)$ associated with a Hecke symmetry of the bi-rank $(m|n)$.

Definition 19 Let $L(x)$ be the generating matrix of a braided Yangian, associated with a Hecke symmetry R. The elements

$$e_k(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_{R(1\dots k)}\Big(\mathcal{P}^{(k)}_{1\dots k}\, L_{\overline{1}}(x)L_{\overline{2}}(x+1)\dots L_{\overline{k}}(x+k-1)\Big),$$

will be called the *elementary symmetric polynomials*.

If the rank of a Hecke symmetry is $(m|0)$, then the highest order nonzero elementary symmetric polynomial $e_m(x)$ is called the *determinant* of $L(x)$ and denoted $\mathrm{det}_{\mathbf{Y}(R)}(x)$.

An important property of the elements $e_k(x)$ is that they commute with each other and, due to this, generate a commutative subalgebra in the braided Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(R)$. The proof of this fact, as well as the analysis of the structure of the commutative subalgebra, will be given in our subsequent publication.

Now we consider the highest order elementary symmetric function $e_m(x) = \mathrm{det}_{\mathbf{Y}(R)}(x)$ and prove that it is always central in the braided Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(R)$ for any choice of a Hecke symmetry R.

Proposition 20 *Let R be a Hecke symmetry of the bi-rank $(m|0)$. Then, the determinant $e_m(x) = \mathrm{det}_{\mathbf{Y}(R)}(x)$ introduced in definition 19 is central. Namely, the following matrix identity holds true:*

$$\mathrm{det}_{\mathbf{Y}(R)}(x)L(y) = L(y)\mathrm{det}_{\mathbf{Y}(R)}(x), \quad \forall\, x,y. \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. The braided Yangian defining relations (6.1) allow one to write the following identity which is valid for arbitrary values of the parameters x and y:

$$R^{(+)}_{1\to m}(x-y)L_{\overline{1}}(x)L_{\overline{2}}(x+1)\dots L_{\overline{m}}(x+m-1)L_{\overline{m+1}}(y) \\ = L_{\overline{1}}(y)L_{\overline{2}}(x)L_{\overline{3}}(x+1)\dots L_{\overline{m+1}}(x+m-1)R^{(+)}_{1\to m}(x-y).$$

We multiply the both sides of this identity by $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1}$ from the left and use the second relation of (6.4):

$$\phi(x-y)\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1}\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{1\dots m}L_{\overline{1}}(x)\dots L_{\overline{m}}(x+m-1)L_{\overline{m+1}}(y) \\ = L_{\overline{1}}(y)\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1}L_{\overline{2}}(x)\dots L_{\overline{m+1}}(x+m-1)R^{(+)}_{1\to m}(x-y), \tag{6.6}$$

where

$$\phi(x)=(-1)^{m-1}m_q\,\frac{(x+m)_q}{(x+m-1)_q}$$

stands for the scalar factor in the right hand side of (6.4).

Taking into account the defining relations (6.1) and the recurrent formulae (6.2) we can prove that

$$\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{1\dots m}L_{\overline{1}}(x)L_{\overline{2}}(x+1)\dots L_{\overline{m}}(x+m-1)=L_{\overline{1}}(x+m-1)\dots L_{\overline{m-1}}(x+1)L_{\overline{m}}(x)\,\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{1\dots m}. \tag{6.7}$$

Then in full analogy with Proposition 9 we conclude that (compare with (3.10))

$$\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{1\dots m}L_{\overline{1}}(x)\dots L_{\overline{m}}(x+m-1)=q^{m^2}e_m(x)\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{1\dots m}. \tag{6.8}$$

So, the left hand side of (6.6) takes the form:

$$\phi(x-y)q^{m^2}\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1}\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{1\dots m}e_m(x)L_{\overline{m+1}}(y).$$

Transforming the right hand side of (6.6) in the same way we get:

$$L_{\overline{1}}(y)\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1}L_{\overline{2}}(x)\dots L_{\overline{m+1}}(x+m-1)R^{(+)}_{1\to m}(x-y)=\phi(x-y)q^{m^2}L_{\overline{1}}(y)e_m(x)\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1}\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{1\dots m}.$$

Therefore, we come to the following intermediate result:

$$\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1}\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{1\dots m}e_m(x)L_{\overline{m+1}}(y)=L_{\overline{1}}(y)e_m(x)\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1}\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{1\dots m}. \tag{6.9}$$

Now we take into account that $L_{\overline{m+1}}(y)=R_m\dots R_1L_1(y)R_1^{-1}\dots R_m^{-1}$, multiply the identity (6.9) by $R_m\dots R_1$ from the right and use the following properties of the highest q-antisymmetrizer (see [H-T])

$$\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{1\dots m}R_m\dots R_1=(-1)^{m-1}q\,m_q\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{1\dots m}\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1}, \\ \mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1}\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{1\dots m}\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1}=m_q^2\,\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1}.$$

As a result, we finally get

$$\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1}e_m(x)L_1(y)=L_1(y)e_m(x)\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1}.$$

Since $\mathrm{Tr}_{R(2\dots m+1)}\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1}=q^{-m^2}$ is nonzero, then on taking the corresponding R-trace of the both sides of the above equality we come to the matrix identity

$$e_m(x)L_1(y)=L_1(y)e_m(x).$$

This completes the proof. ∎

Remark 21 Note, that the same considerations can be carried out for the RTT-type Yangian with the defining relations

$$R(x-y)T_1(x)T_2(y) = T_1(y)T_2(x)R(x-y).$$

In this way we come to the intermediate result analogous to (6.9):

$$\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1}\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{1\dots m}e_m(x)T_{m+1}(y) = T_1(y)e_m(x)\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{2\dots m+1}\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{1\dots m},$$

where the elementary symmetric polynomials $e_k(x)$ in this case are defined by the relation

$$e_k(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_{R(1\dots k)}\Big(\mathcal{P}^{(k)}_{1\dots k}\,T_1(x)T_2(x+1)\dots T_k(x+k-1)\Big). \tag{6.10}$$

Writing the q-antisymmetrizer $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ in terms of structural tensors u and v (2.5) and using the definition (2.8) of the matrix $\mathcal{N}$ we can transform the above identity to the following result:

$$\mathcal{N}\cdot T(y)e_m(x) = e_m(x)T(y)\cdot\mathcal{N}.$$

So, in the RTT-type Yangian the determinant $e_m(x)$ is central iff the matrix $\mathcal{N}$ (and, therefore, $\mathcal{M}$) is scalar.

Now we introduce the skew-symmetric powers of the generating matrix $L(x)$ by the rule:

$$L^{\wedge k}(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_{R(2\dots k)}\Big(\mathcal{P}^{(k)}_{12\dots k}L_{\overline{1}}(x)L_{\overline{2}}(x+1)\dots L_{\overline{k}}(x+k-1)\Big),\quad k\geq 1, \tag{6.11}$$

where by definition $L^{\wedge 1}(x) = L(x)$. Note, that if the bi-rank of the Hecke symmetry R is $(m|0)$, then $L^{\wedge k}(x)\equiv 0$ for $k>m$. It is evident that $e_k(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_R(L^{\wedge k}(x))$.

Besides, we define analogs of the matrix powers of the generating matrix:

$$L^{k}(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_{R(2\dots k)}\Big(R_1R_2\dots R_{k-1}L_{\overline{1}}(x)L_{\overline{2}}(x+1)\dots L_{\overline{k}}(x+k-1)\Big),\quad k\geq 1. \tag{6.12}$$

By analogy with the elementary symmetric polynomials we define *the power sums*:

$$s_k(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_R(L^k(x)). \tag{6.13}$$

Proposition 22 *The skew-symmetric and matrix powers of $L(u)$ satisfies the series of Cayley-Hamilton-Newton identities*

$$(-1)^{k+1}k_qL^{\wedge k}(x) = \sum_{p=1}^{k}(-q)^{k-p}L^p(x)e_{k-p}(x+p),\quad k\geq 1. \tag{6.14}$$

Proof. The proof is based on the recurrent formula for the q-antisymmetrizers $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ equivalent to (2.2):

$$k_q\mathcal{P}^{(k)}_{1\dots k} = \mathcal{P}^{(k-1)}_{2\dots k}\Big(q^{k-1}-(k-1)_qR_1\Big)\mathcal{P}^{(k-1)}_{2\dots k}.$$

Using this formula we obtain from the definition (6.11):

$$\begin{aligned} k_qL^{\wedge k}(x) &= q^{k-1}L_{\overline{1}}(x)\,e_{k-1}(x+1) \\ &\quad -(k-1)_q\mathrm{Tr}_{R(2\dots k)}\Big(\mathcal{P}^{(k-1)}_{2\dots k}R_1L_{\overline{1}}(x)\mathcal{P}^{(k-1)}_{2\dots k}L_{\overline{2}}(x+1)\dots L_{\overline{k}}(x+k-1)\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

Here to get the first term in the right hand side we applied the identity

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{R(r\dots r+k)}\Big(\mathcal{P}^{(k+1)}_{r\dots r+k}L_{\overline{r}}(x)\dots L_{\overline{r+k}}(x+k)\Big) = e_{k+1}(x)I_{1\dots r-1},\quad \forall\, r\geq 1.$$

Let us simplify the second term in the right hand side. For this purpose we use the cyclic property of the R-trace:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{R(r\dots\, r+k)}\Big(\mathcal{P}^{(k+1)}_{r\dots\, r+k}\, X\Big) = \mathrm{Tr}_{R(r\dots\, r+k)}\Big(X\mathcal{P}^{(k+1)}_{r\dots\, r+k}\Big),$$

where X stands for any matrix. With this property in mind we get for the second term in the right hand side of (6.15):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}_{R(2\dots k)}\Big(\mathcal{P}^{(k-1)}_{2\dots k} R_1 L_{\overline{1}}(x)\mathcal{P}^{(k-1)}_{2\dots k} L_{\overline{2}}(x+1)\dots L_{\overline{k}}(x+k-1)\Big) = \text{(applying (6.7))}\\
&\quad = \mathrm{Tr}_{R(2\dots k)}\Big(\mathcal{P}^{(k-1)}_{2\dots k} R_1 L_{\overline{1}}(x) L_{\overline{2}}(x+k-1)\dots L_{\overline{k}}(x+1)\mathcal{P}^{(k-1)}_{2\dots k}\Big) = \text{(cyclic property)}\\
&\quad = \mathrm{Tr}_{R(2\dots k)}\Big(R_1 L_{\overline{1}}(x) L_{\overline{2}}(x+k-1)\dots L_{\overline{k}}(x+1)\mathcal{P}^{(k-1)}_{2\dots k}\Big) = \text{(applying (6.7))}\\
&\quad = \mathrm{Tr}_{R(2\dots k)}\Big(R_1 L_{\overline{1}}(x)\mathcal{P}^{(k-1)}_{2\dots k} L_{\overline{2}}(x+1)\dots L_{\overline{k}}(x+k-1)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Besides, in the third line we have taken into account that $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}\mathcal{P}^{(m)} = \mathcal{P}^{(m)}$.

So, we come to the following result:

$$\begin{aligned}
k_q L^{\wedge k}(x) = {}& q^{k-1} L_{\overline{1}}(x)\, e_{k-1}(x+1)\\
&- (k-1)_q \mathrm{Tr}_{R(2\dots k)}\Big(R_1 L_{\overline{1}}(x)\mathcal{P}^{(k-1)}_{2\dots k} L_{\overline{2}}(x+1)\dots L_{\overline{k}}(x+k-1)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we apply the same transformations for $(k-1)_q\mathcal{P}^{(k-1)}_{2\dots k}$ and so on. The required result (6.14) is proved by induction with the use of definitions of $e_k(x)$ and $L^k(x)$. ■

Remark 23 Note, that formula (6.14) is valid for *any* positive integer k and for any skew-invertible Hecke symmetry R. However, if the bi-rank of a Hecke symmetry is $(m|0)$, then we have $L^{\wedge k} \equiv 0$ for $k > m$, and all matrix identities given by (6.14) at $k > m$ are consequences of the Cayley-Hamilton identity corresponding to $k = m$ (see Proposition 24 below).

As a simple corollary of the Proposition 22 we get the analogs of the Newton identities, connecting the elementary symmetric polynomials and power sums. Indeed, taking the R-trace of the both sides of (6.14) we come to the set of identities:

$$k_q e_k(x) - q^{k-1} s_1(x) e_{k-1}(x+1) + q^{k-2} s_2(x) e_{k-2}(x+2) + \dots + (-1)^k s_k(x) = 0, \quad k \geq 1. \tag{6.16}$$

As follows from these identities and from the mutual commutativity of the elementary symmetric polynomials mentioned above, the power sums $s_k(x)$ are also commute with each other and with $e_p(y)$.

Consider now the highest order independent Cayley-Hamilton-Newton identity obtained by setting $k = m$ in (6.14). It contains only matrix powers L^p and is called the Caley-Hamilton identity for the matrix $L(x)$.

Proposition 24 *The generating matrix of the braided Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(R)$ associated with the Hecke symmetry R of the bi-rank $(m|0)$ satisfies the matrix Cayley-Hamilton identity:*

$$\sum_{p=0}^{m} (-q)^{m-p} L^p(x) e_{m-p}(x+p) = 0, \tag{6.17}$$

where by definition we set $L^0(x) := I$.

Proof. Let us set $k = m$ in (6.14):

$$(-1)^m m_q L^{\wedge m}(x) + \sum_{p=1}^{m} (-q)^{m-p} L^p(x) e_{m-p}(x+p) = 0. \tag{6.18}$$

Transform now the first term of this identity, using the relation (6.8) valid for the highest order q-antisymmetrizer:

$$\begin{aligned} m_q L^{\wedge m}(x) &= m_q \mathrm{Tr}_{R(2\,\ldots\,m)}\Big(\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{12\,\ldots\,m} L_{\overline{1}}(x) L_{\overline{2}}(x+1)\ldots L_{\overline{m}}(x+m-1)\Big) \\ &\overset{(6.8)}{=} q^{m^2} m_q e_m(x) \mathrm{Tr}_{R(2\,\ldots\,m)}\Big(\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{12\,\ldots\,m}\Big) = q^m e_m(x) I_1. \end{aligned}$$

Here at the last step we use the value of a multiple trace of the q-antisymmetrizer

$$m_q \mathrm{Tr}_{R(2\,\ldots\,m)}\Big(\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_{12\,\ldots\,m}\Big) = q^{-m(m-1)} I_1,$$

which can be easily verified by induction basing on the recurrent formula (2.2).

Substituting the relation $m_q L^{\wedge m}(x) = q^m e_m(x) I$ into (6.18) we get the identity (6.17). ■

Remark 25 For the RTT-type Yangian the Cayley-Hamiltion identity slightly differs in the last term, corresponding to the zero power of $T(u)$. Indeed, for this type Yangian we have

$$m_q T^{\wedge m}(x) = q^m e_m(x) \mathcal{N},$$

and the identity reads

$$q^m e_m(x)\mathcal{N} + \sum_{p=1}^{m} (-q)^{m-p} T^p(x) e_{m-p}(x+p) = 0,$$

where the elementary symmetric polynomials $e_k(x)$ are defined by (6.10) and for the powers of the generating matrix we have by definition (compare with (6.12)):

$$T^k(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_{R(2\ldots k)}\Big(R_1 R_2 \ldots R_{k-1} T_1(x) T_2(x+1)\ldots T_k(x+k-1)\Big).$$

7 Bosonization of braided Yangians

In this section we realize a bosonization of the braided Yangians, i.e. represent these Yangians in a braided analog of the Fock space. In the spirit of the sections 5 this representation is essentially based on the evaluation map.

First, introduce the Fock space and the corresponding bosonic algebra, associated with a skew-invertible Hecke symmetry R. The role of the Fock space $\mathcal{F}(R)$ is played by the R-symmetric algebra $\mathrm{Sym}_R(V)$ of the basic space V. The elements of the basis $\{x_i\}$ considered in section 2, generate this algebra. Also, the unit of this algebra plays the role of the vacuum vector.

In the space V^* we use the both bases: the right dual basis $\{x^j\}$ and the left dual one $\{\tilde{x}^j\}$. The symmetric algebra of the space V^* is defined by

$$\mathrm{Sym}_R(V^*) = T(V^*)/\langle q x^i x^j - R^{ji}_{lk} x^k x^l \rangle. \tag{7.1}$$

In the left dual basis the algebra $\mathrm{Sym}_R(V^*)$ is defined by the same formula (up to replacing x^i by $\tilde{x}^i$).

To prove this fact we need to discuss a relation between the two bases. As follows from formulae (2.12) and (2.13), the map

$$x^i \mapsto \tilde{x}^k B^i_k \tag{7.2}$$

is R-invariant. Setting $x^i = \tilde{x}^k B^i_k$ and using the fact that the product $B_1 B_2$ commutes with the braiding R, we come to the desired conclusion.

Besides, we introduce the permutation relations between the generators of the algebras $\mathrm{Sym}_R(V^*)$ and $\mathrm{Sym}_R(V)$ by putting

$$x^i x_j = B^i_j + q^{-1} x_k x^l \Psi^{ki}_{lj}. \tag{7.3}$$

Proposition 26 *The ideals coming in the definitions of the algebras* $\mathrm{Sym}_R(V)$ *and* $\mathrm{Sym}_R(V^*)$ *are preserved by the permutation relations. Consequently, the map*

$$\mathrm{Sym}_R(V^*) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_R(V) \to \mathrm{Sym}_R(V) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_R(V^*), \tag{7.4}$$

arising from successive permutations of all factors of a monomial $p \in \mathrm{Sym}_R(V^*)$ *and those of a monomial* $q \in \mathrm{Sym}_R(V)$*, is well defined.*

Proof. Here we use the left dual basis. By means of the map (7.2), we can write the relation (7.3) in terms of the left dual basis. Namely, we have

$$\tilde{x}^i x_j = \delta^i_j + q^{-1} x_k \tilde{x}^l (R^{-1})^{ik}_{jl}. \tag{7.5}$$

The fact that the systems (7.3) and (7.5) are equivalent can be easily deduced from the relation (see [O])

$$B_1 \, \Psi_{12} = R^{-1}_{21} \, B_2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad B^j_i \, \Psi^{lm}_{jk} = (R^{-1})^{pl}_{ki} \, B^m_p.$$

With the use of (7.5) and the Yang-Baxter equation for the Hecke symmetry R we get:

$$\tilde{x}^k (q \, x_i \, x_j - R^{ab}_{ij} x_a \, x_b) = q^{-2} (R^{-1})^{rb}_{js} (R^{-1})^{ka}_{ir} (q \, x_a \, x_b - R^{cd}_{ab} x_c \, x_d) \tilde{x}^s,$$

This means that the permutation relations preserve the ideal generated by the relations

$$q \, x_i \, x_j - R^{ab}_{ij} x_a \, x_b,$$

therefore, the permutation relations are compatible with the algebraic structure of $\mathrm{Sym}_R(V)$. The same is true for the ideal in the quotient (7.1). Details are left to the reader. ■

Now, convert the generators of the algebra $\mathrm{Sym}_R(V^*)$ into operators acting on the space $\mathcal{F}(R)$. Thus, in order to apply $\tilde{x}^i$ to an element $f \in \mathcal{F}(R)$ we send the product $\tilde{x}^i \otimes f$ to the space $\mathrm{Sym}_R(V) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_R(V^*)$ by the map (7.4). Then we apply the counit ε to the factors from $\mathrm{Sym}_R(V^*)$. This counit kills all elements of the algebra $\mathrm{Sym}_R(V^*)$ except for those of the ground field $\mathbb{K}$ and acts as the identity operator on $\mathbb{K}$. Thus, we get an element $g \in \mathrm{Sym}_R(V) \otimes \mathbb{K} \cong \mathrm{Sym}_R(V)$. Finally, we define the action as $\tilde{x}^i(f) = g$. In particular, we have $\tilde{x}^j(1) = 0$, $\tilde{x}^j(x_i) = \delta^j_i$.

Now, define the creation and annihilation operators $a^+_i, a^i \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{F}(R))$ acting on the space $\mathcal{F}(R)$ as follows

$$a^+_i(f) = x_i \, f, \quad a^i(f) = \tilde{x}^i(f), \quad \forall \, f \in \mathcal{F}(R). \tag{7.6}$$

Proposition 27 *The creation and annihilation operators meet the following exchange relations*

$$q a^+_i a^+_j = R^{kl}_{ij} a^+_k a^+_l, \quad q a^i a^j = R^{ji}_{lk} a^k a^l, \quad a^j \, a^+_i - q^{-1} \, (R^{-1})^{jl}_{ik} \, a^+_l \, a^k = \delta^j_i. \tag{7.7}$$

Proof. The first relation is clear. The second relation follows from the fact that the permutation of elements of the algebra $\mathrm{Sym}_R(V^*)$ with a fixed element $f \in \mathrm{Sym}_R(V)$ is a morphism of the algebra $\mathrm{Sym}_R(V)$ onto itself. Also, the counit ε is a morphism. So, the map $\tilde{x}_i \mapsto a_i$ is a representation of the algebra $\mathrm{Sym}_R(V^*)$. The third relation is valid in virtue of the definition of the annihilation operators. ■

The algebra generated by the creation and annihilation operators is called *bosonic algebra* and is denoted $\mathcal{B}(R)$.

Now, we pass to the bosonization of the modified RE algebra $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$. In fact, it is similar to the construction exhibited in sections 2 and 3.

Proposition 28 *The elements $l_i^j = a_i^+ a^k B_k^j$ meet the defining relations of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$. Consequently, the map*

$$\pi : l_i^j \mapsto a_i^+ a^k B_k^j,$$

which is called a bosonization of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$, defines a representation of this algebra in the Fock space $\mathcal{F}(R)$.

Proof. Here, we use the notations x_i and x^j instead of a_i^+ and $a^p B_p^j$ respectively. Let us present the relations (7.3) in an equivalent form

$$x^a R_{ai}^{bj} x_b = \delta_i^j + q^{-1} x_i x^j.$$

Now, transform the matrix $\pi(R_1 L_1 R_1 L_1)$ as follows (underlined are the terms affected by transformations at the next step)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(R_{ij}^{ab} l_a^c R_{cb}^{dl} l_d^k) &= R_{ij}^{ab} x_a \underline{x^c R_{cb}^{dl} x_d}\, x^k = R_{ij}^{al} x_a x^k + q^{-1} \underline{R_{ij}^{ab} x_a\, x_b}\, x^l x^k = \\ &= \pi(R_{ij}^{al} l_a^k) + x_i x_j x^l x^k. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we transform the entries of the matrix $L_1 R_1 L_1 R_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(l_i^a R_{aj}^{bc} l_b^d R_{dc}^{kl}) &= x_i \underline{x^a R_{aj}^{bc} x_b} x^d R_{dc}^{kl} = x_i x^d R_{dj}^{kl} + q^{-1} x_i x_j \underline{x^c x^d R_{dc}^{kl}} = \\ &= \pi(l_i^d R_{dj}^{kl}) + x_i x_j x^l x^k. \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting the latter expression from the former one we come to the result. ■

Now, we are able to realize a bosonization of the braided Yangians based on the evaluation morphism. Let us represent the generating matrix $L(u)$ of a given braided Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(R)$ via the map $L(u) \mapsto I + \frac{M}{u}$, where M is the generating matrix of either the algebra $\mathcal{L}(q)$, if R is a Hecke symmetry, or that of $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$ if R is an involutive symmetry.

First, consider the latter case. Using the bosonization of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$ described above, we immediately get a bosonization of the braided Yangian $\mathbf{Y}(R)$. More precisely, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 29 *The map*

$$l_i^j(u) \mapsto \delta_i^j + \frac{a_i^+\, a^k\, B_k^j}{u}, \tag{7.8}$$

where a_i^+ and a^j are respectively the creation and annihilation operators acting on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}(R)$, and subject to (7.7) with $q = 1$, is a representation of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R,1)$.

If R is a Hecke symmetry, then we first apply the map inverse to (3.3) with $h = 1$ and then we perform the bosonization of the latter algebra. Finally, we have the following bosonization of the braided Yangian, corresponding to a Hecke symmetry

$$l_i^j(u) \mapsto \Big(1 + \frac{1}{(q - q^{-1})u}\Big)\delta_i^j + \frac{a_i^+\, a^k\, B_k^j}{u}. \tag{7.9}$$

Proposition 30 *The map* (7.9) *is a representation of the braided Yangian* $\mathbf{Y}(R)$.

This map does not admit a limit as $q \to 1$ since the evaluation maps for the braided Yangians corresponding to involutive symmetries and Hecke ones differ drastically.

Note that the denominator in (7.8) can be replaced by $u - u_0$, $u_0 \in \mathbb{K}$, whereas in (7.9) it can be replaced by $u\,u_0$. Such representations with different u_0 can be multiplied by means of the coproduct, defined in the braided Yangians. Note that the vacuum vector of the product of the corresponding Fock spaces is the product of the vacuum vectors of the factors.

In the classical case, i.e. while $R(u,v)$ is the Yang R-matrix, the role of the bosonic algebra is often attributed to the Zamolodchikov-Faddeev (ZF) algebra (see [ZZ, F]). It appeared in the framework of the second quantization of some dynamical models. In particular, it comes to the Nonlinear Schrodinger hierarchy (see [MRSZ]). Nevertheless, a consequent definition of a bosonic algebra in the spirit of the ZF one meets some difficulties, which we comment below. Also, we suggest a modified version of the bosonic algebra valid for all current braidings in question.

As was pointed out in [LM], a consistent Fock space can be only associated with an involutive current braiding, i.e. that subject to the condition: $R(u,v)\,R(v,u) = I$. The authors of [LM] found such a current braiding in the form $g(u,v)I$, where $g(u,v)$ is an appropriate function. However, this braiding is out of our interest.

So, assuming $R(u,v)$ to be one of the current braidings constructed in section 4, we first normalize it as suggested there, and get an involutive braiding $\mathbf{R}(u,v)$, given by one of formulae (4.11). Then we define the Fock space $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{R})$ as the $\mathbf{R}$-symmetric algebra of the space $V(w)$. This space consists of finite linear combinations of products $x_{i_1}(u_1) \otimes x_{i_2}(u_2) \otimes \ldots \otimes x_{i_k}(u_k)$, modulo the ideal generated by quadratic elements

$$x_i(u)x_j(v) = \mathbf{R}_{ij}^{kl}(u,v)\,x_k(v)x_l(u). \tag{7.10}$$

Rewrite these relations in a more detailed form

$$q\,x_i(u)x_j(v) - R_{ij}^{kl}\,x_k(v)x_l(u) = g(u,v)(x_i(u)x_j(v) - x_i(v)x_j(u)) \tag{7.11}$$

where $g(u,v)$ is one of the functions

$$g(u,v) = \frac{1}{u-v} \qquad \text{or} \qquad g(u,v) = \frac{u(q-q^{-1})}{u-v}.$$

In the former case we also set $q = 1$ in (7.11).

In a similar manner, we define the $\mathbf{R}$-symmetric algebra of the dual space $V^*(w)$ by imposing the following system of relations:

$$\tilde{x}^l(u)\tilde{x}^k(v) = \mathbf{R}_{ij}^{kl}(u,v)\,\tilde{x}^j(v)\tilde{x}^i(u). \tag{7.12}$$

Now, according to the above pattern, define the corresponding permutation relations by the formula similar to (7.3):

$$\tilde{x}^j(u)x_i(v) = \mathbf{R}_{ik}^{jl}(v,u)\,x_l(v)\tilde{x}^k(u) + \delta_i^j\delta(u-v). \tag{7.13}$$

We leave to the reader checking that these permutation relations preserve the ideals coming in the definitions of the above symmetric algebras. Finally, we define the corresponding bosonic algebra by the relations (7.10), (7.12), and (7.13).

Observe that even in the case when $R(u,v)$ is the Yang R-matrix, our definition of the bosonic algebra differs from that of the ZF one.

Unfortunately, we have not succeed in performing a bosonization of the braided Yangians via this bosonic algebra.

Acknowledgement. D.G. is grateful to Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis for stimulating atmosphere during his one month scientific stay at Department of Mathematical Sciences in 2015 year, this paper has been conceived then. He is also thankful to Professors Vitaly Tarasov and Alexander Molev for elucidating discussions.

The work of P.S. has been funded by the Russian Academic Excellence Project '5-100' and by the RFBR grant no. 16-01-00562-A.

References

[BR] C.Briot, E.Ragoucy, *Yangians and W-algebras*, Phys. Atomic Nuclei 64 (2001), no. 12, 2160–2166;

[CP] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *A Guide to Quantum Groups.*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1994.

[D] V.Drinfeld, *Quantum Groups*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), 798-820, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.

[FJMR] L.Frappat, N.Jing, A.Molev, E.Ragoucy, *Higher Sugawara operators for the quantum affine algebras of type A*, arXiv:1505.03667.

[F] L.Faddeev, *Two-dimensional integrable models in quantum field theory*, Phys. Scripta 24 (1981), no. 5, 832-835

[FR] E.Frenkel, N.Reshetikhin, *Quantum affine algebras and deformations of the Virasoro and W-algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. 178 (1996), no. 1, 237-264.

[Go] L.Gow, *Gauss decomposition of the Yangian* $Y(gl^{m|n})$, Comm. Math. Phys. 276 (2007), no. 3, 799-825.

[G1] D. Gurevich, *Generalized translation operators on Lie groups*, Engl. transl.: Soviet J. Contemporary Math. Anal., (4) **18** (1983), 57–90.

[G2] D. Gurevich, *Algebraic aspects of quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, Leningrad Math. Journal 2:4 (1990), 119–148.

[GPS] D. Gurevich, P. Pyatov, P. Saponov, *Representation theory of (modified) Reflection Equation Algebra of the* $GL(m|n)$ *type*, Algebra and Analysis, **20** (2008), 70–133.

[GS] D. Gurevich, P. Saponov *Braided affine geometry and q-analogs of wave operators*, J.Phys. A 42 (2009), no. 31, 51 pp.

[H] Phung Ho Hai, *Poincaré Series of Quantum spaces Associated to Hecke Operators*, Acta Math. Vietnam 24 (1999) 235-246.

[H-T] L.K. Hadjiivanov, A.P. Isaev, O.V. Ogievetsky, P.N. Pyatov and I.T. Todorov, *Hecke algebraic properties of dynamical R-matrices. Application to related quantum matrix algebras*, Mathematical Physics Analysis and Geometry, 40 (1999), 427-448.

[IOP] A.Isaev, O.Ogievetsky, P.Pyatov, *On quantum matrix algebras satisfying the Cayley-Hamilton-Newton identities*, J. Phys. A 32 (1999), no. 9, L115-L121.

[IP] A.Isaev, P.Pyatov, *Spectral extension of the quantum group cotangent bundle*, Comm. Math. Phys. 288 (2009), no. 3, 1137-1179.

[KS] L.Korogodski, Y.Soibelman, *Algebras of Functions on Quantum groups: Part 1*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 56. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

[LM] A.Liguori, M.Mitchev, *Fock spaces with generalized statistics*, Lett. Math. Phys. 33 (1995), no. 4, 283-295.

[Ma] Sh.Majid, *Foundations of quantum group theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[MRSZ] M.Mintchev, E.Ragoucy, P.Sorba, Ph.Zaugg, *Yangian symmetry in the nonlinear Schrdinger hierarchy*, J. Phys. A 32 (1999), no. 32, 5885-5900.

[Mo] A.Molev, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 143. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.

[N] M.Nazarov, *Quantum Berezinian and the classical Capelli identity*, Lett. Math. Phys. 21(2):123-131, 1991.

[O] O.Ogievetsky, *Uses of Quantum Spaces*, 3rd cycle. Bariloche (Argentine), 2000, pp.72, cel-00374419.

[OP] O.Ogievetsky, P.Pyatov, *Lectures on Hecke algebras*, in Proc. of the International School Symmetries and Integrable Systems Dubna, Russia, June 8-11, 1999. JINR, Dubna, D2, 5–2000–218, 39-88; Preprints CPT-2000/P.4076 and MPI 01-4.

[SV] Y. Soibelman, L.Vaksman, *Algebra of functions on the quantum group $SU(2)$*, Functional Anal. Apll. 22 (1988), 170–181.

[ZZ] A.Zamolodchikov, A.Zamolodchikov, *Factorized S-matrices in two dimensions as the exact solutions of certain relativistic quantum field theory models*, Ann. Physics 120 (1979), no. 2, 253-291.